**UDC 55** 

CODEN – GEOME 2 ISSN 0352 – 1206

# **GEOLOGICA MACEDONICA**

Geologica Macedonica	Год.	стр.	Штип
Geologica Macedonica	Vol. 22	<sub>рр.</sub> 1–62	<b>2008</b>

Geologica Macedonica

Год. Vol. 22



2008

Штип

Štip

Geologica Macedonica Vol.

#### **GEOLOGICA MACEDONICA**

Издава – Published by:

Универзитет "Гоце Делчев", Факултет за природни и технички науки, , Штип, Република Македонија The "Goce Delčev" University, Faculty of Natural and Technical Sciences, Štip, Republic of Macedonia

#### ИЗДАВАЧКИ СОВЕТ

Дејвид Олдертон (В. Британија), Тадеј Доленец (Р. Словенија), Иван Загорчев (Р. Бугарија), акад. Стеван Карамата (Србија), Драгољуб Стефановиќ (Србија), Тодор Серафимовски (Р. Македонија), Волфганг Тод (Германија), акад. Илмар Томсон (Русија)

**ADVISORY BOARD** 

David Alderton (UK), Tadej Dolenec (R. Slovenia), Ivan Zagorchev (R. Bulgaria), acad. Stevan Karamata (Serbia), Dragoljub Stefanović (Serbia), Todor Serafimovski (R. Macedonia), Wolfgang Todt (Germany), acad. Ilmar Tomson (Russia)

#### УРЕДУВАЧКИ ОДБОР EDITORIAL BOARD

Главен уредник проф. д-р Тодор Серафимовски Уредник проф. д-р Блажо Боев

Уредник Editor проф. д-р Блажо Боев Ргоf. Blažo Boev, Ph. D. Членови на уредувачкиот одбор проф. д-р Никола Думурџанов проф. д-р Ванчо Чифлиганец Ргоf. Vančo Čifliganec, Ph. D.

Prof. Todor Serafimovski, Ph. D.

Editor in Chief

проф. д-р Никола Думурџанов проф. д-р Ванчо Чифлиганец проф. д-р Ристо Стојанов проф. д-р Тодор Делипетров Ргоf. Nikola Dumurdžanov, Pł Prof. Nikola Dumurdžanov, Pł

> Лектура Вангел Карагунов (англиски) Георги Георгиевски (македонски)

Технички уредник Благоја Богатиноски Коректор Алена Георгиевска (English) Georgi Georgievski (Macedonian) Technical editor

Vangel Karagunov

Language editor

Blagoja Bogatinoski Proof-reader Alena Georgievska

АдресаAddressGEOLOGICA MACEDONICAGEOLOGICA MACEDONICAРЕДАКЦИЈАEDITORIAL BOARDФакултет за природни и технички науки<br/>пошт. фах 96Faculty of Natural and Technical SciencesМК-2000 Штип, Република Македонија<br/>Teл. 032 550 553MK-2000 Štip, Republic of Macedonia<br/>Tel. ++ 389 32 550 553

E-mail: todor\_s2000@yahoo.co.uk

400 copies Тираж: 400 Излегува еднаш годишно Published yearly Printed by: Печати: 2<sup>ри</sup> Август – Штип 2<sup>ri</sup> Avgust – Štip Price: 500 den. Цена: 500 ден. Бројот е отпечатен во декември 2008 The edition was published in December 2008 Photo on the cover: На корицата: Гарнитура за дупчење, површински коп, рудник "Бучим", Drilling rig, open pit mine "Buchim", Radoviš, Republic of Macedonia Радовиш, Република Македонија

Geologica Macedonica	Год.	стр.	Штип
	22	1-62	2008
Geologica Macedonica	Vol.	pp	<u>Stip</u>

## содржина

Виолета Стојанова, Гоше Петров	
Корелација на стратиграфска фораминиферна распространетост	
во овчеполскиот и тиквешкиот палеогенски басен во Република Македонија	1-8
Орце Спасовски, Војо Мирчовски	
Нови податоци за хидротермалните промени во наоѓалиштето Плавица	
(Источна Македонија)	9–16
Тодор Серафимовски, Тадеј Доленец, Горан Тасев, Настја Роган, Матеј Доленец	
Состав на главните минерали од порфирското бакарно наоѓалиште Бучим,	
Република Македонија	17–26
Блажо Боев, Тена Шијакова-Иванова, Лидија Робева-Чуковска, Иван Боев	
Минералошки испитувања на примероци од археолошкиот локалитет "Стоби"	
со примена на рендгенска дифракција	27–41
Милена Тасеска, Петре Макрески, Векослава Стибиљ, Радојко Јаќимовиќ,	
Трајче Стафилов	
Определување на елементи во траги во хематитен референтен материјал JSS-804-1	
со примена на $k_0$ -INNA	43–48
Војо Мирчовски, Орце Спасовски, Владо Мирчовски	
Загадување и заштита на подземните води во алувијалните седименти на реката	
Брегалница во регионот на Делчево, во зависност од нивните хидрогеолошки	
карактеристики	49–57
Упатства за авторите	59–61

Geologica Macedonica	Год.	стр.		Штип	
	22	-	1–62	~	2008
Geologica Macedonica	Vol.	pp.		Štip	

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Violeta Stojanova, Goše Petrov Correlation of stratigraphic distribution of the foraminifers in the Ovče Pole and Tikveš	
Paleogene basin, the Republic of Macedonia	1–8
Orce Spasovski, Vojo Mirčovski New data on the hydrothermal alterations in the Plavica deposit (Eastern Macedonia)	9–16
Todor Serafimovski, Tadej Dolenec, Goran Tasev, Nastja Rogan, Matej Dolenec The composition of major minerals from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit, Republic of Macedonia	17–26
Blažo Boev, Tena Šijakova-Ivanova, Lidija Robeva-Čukovska, Ivan Boev Mineral researches of the examples of the archeological locality "Stobi" using the method of the x-ray diffraction	27–41
Milena Taseska, Petre Makreski, Vekoslava Stibilj, Radojko Jaćimović, Trajče Stafilov Determination of trace elements in hematite's iron reference material, JSS-804-1 using k <sub>0</sub> -INAA	43–48
<b>Vojo Mirčovski, Orce Spasovski, Vlado Mirčovski</b> Contamination and protection of ground waters in the alluvial sediments	
of the Bregalnica River in the Delčevo region, depending on their hydrogeological characteristics	49–57
Instructions to authors	59–61

GEOME 2 Manuscript received: March 18, 2008 Accepted: October 25, 2008

Original scientific paper

#### THE COMPOSITION OF MAJOR MINERALS FROM THE BUCHIM PORPHYRY COPPER DEPOSIT, REPUBLIC OF MACEDONIA

Todor Serafimovski<sup>1</sup>, Tadej Dolenec<sup>2</sup>, Goran Tasev<sup>1</sup>, Nastja Rogan<sup>2</sup>, Matej Dolenec<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> The "Goce Delčev" University, Faculty of Natural and Technical Sciences, Institute of Geology, ul. Goce Delčev 89, MK-2000 Štip, Republic of Macedonia <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Natural and Technical Sciences, Geology Department, University in Ljubljana, Aškerčeva 12, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia todor.serafimovski@ugd.edu.mk // goran.tasev@ugd.edu.mk

A b s t r a c t: Our current study of major ore and rock forming minerals enclosed the most important minerals within the Buchim ore deposit. Rock forming minerals were represented by plagioclase, K-feldspars, biotite and amphiboles. Beside their complex formulas those minerals have shown quite uniform compositions. Amphiboles were determined as hornblende, biotites were characterized by increased Fe and Ti and decreased Mg concentrations, plagioclases were determined as sanidine while K-feldspars were represented by albites and oligoclases. We have shown that the chalcopyrite composition is highly pure without any significant presence of Ni, Co, As and Sb (<0.01%). Pyrite analyses have shown that there are increased arsenic concentrations of  $0.15 \div 0.22$  % As, such concentrations of arsenic are indicative, but are very characteristic for low temperature pyrites from porphyry copper deposits. Beside the arsenic in pyrites, there were determined increased Ni concentrations  $0.45 \div 1.42$  % Ni, while Co and Sb remain below 0.01%.

Key words: Buchim porphyry copper deposit; major minerals; composition

#### INTRODUCTION

First written records of the geological setting date from the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Cvijić, 1906). This deposit has been explored in late 1930, early 1940 and even during the Second World War. The most extensive ones were in the period 1955–1976, followed by extensive diamond core drillings and exploration mining drifts. Such broad exploration programme have resulted with a start mine of mine production in 1979. Since then the annual mine production rate used to be 3.8 Mt of ore processed in the concentration plant in Buchim, except during the short production break at the beginning of this century. The latter on studies were

performed by Janković et al. (1980), Ivanov (1982), Čifliganec (1982, 1987, 1993), Palinkaš et al. (2008), Serafimovski (1990), Serafimovski et al. (1993, 1996, 2001, 2006), Serafimovski and Čifliganec (1996), Strasimirov et al. (1996) and others. This study was initiated by the idea to determine the exact compositions of the major ore (chalcopyrite and pyrite) and silicate (plagioclase, muscovite, biotite etc.) minerals from the ore body Central Part. Findings from this study were put in service of enhancing the ore milling and concentration process.

#### GEOLOGICAL SETTING

The Buchim ore deposit on a more regional scale belongs to the so called Buchim-Damjan-Borov Dol ore field, which covers an area of  $65 \text{ km}^2$ . This ore field consists of different lithological members of the Vardar zone and the Serbo-

Macedonian massif: Precambrian amphibolites, amphibolite schists, biotite gneisses; Palaeozoic schists and granites; Jurassic ultrabasics and granites; Cretaceous and Paleogene sediments; Miocene andesites and andesite tuffs and Pliocene and quaternary sediments. The Buchim porphyry copper deposit consists of four separate bodies: Central Part, Bunardzhik, Vrshnik and Chukar, which are occupying an area of approximately 10 km<sup>2</sup>. The geological setting of the immediate surroundings of the deposit includes the following members: Precambrian gneisses (different compositions), schist and amphibolites; Palaeozoic amphibolites, serpentines and gabbros; Tertiary representatives in mineralogical and/or chemical composition correspond to latites with a transition to andesites and quartzlatites (Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1.** Geological map of the Bučim deposit 1. Ore body Central part; 2. Ore body Vrshnik; 3. Ore body Chukar; 4. Ore body Bunardzhik

#### MINERAL ASSOCIATIONS

Up to date systematic studies, mostly of the Central part ore body, have identified the following minerals within the Buchim deposit: pyrite, chalcopyrite, magnetite, rutile, sphene, titano-magnetite, ilmenite, hematite, specularite, pyrrhotite, valerite, cubanite, sphalerite, galena, bismuthinite, bornite, enargite, native gold, chalcocite (Fig. 2).

	Ore forming stages							
Minerals	Metamorphic	Magmatic	Hydrothermal	Supergene				
Biotite								
Amphibole								
Zircon								
Apatite								
Sphene								
Ilmenite								
Feldspar								
Quartz								
Sericite								
Chlorite								
Epidote								
Anatas								
Rutile								
Chalcedony								
Calcite								
Gypsum								
Kaolinite								
Magnetite								
Chalcopyrite								
Bornite								
Pyrhotite								
Cubanite								
Martite								
Specularite								
Mushketowite								
Pyrite								
Molibdenite								
Krenerite								
Native Au								
Bravoite								
Bismuthite								
Galenobismuthite								
Luzonite								
Tetrahedrite								
Galena								
Chalcocite								
Covellite								
Malachite								
Azurite								
Tenorite								
Limonite								

Fig. 2. Paragenetic sequences of mineralization in the Buchim deposit (Čifliganec, 1987; modified)

Also, within the deposit were identified more rarer minerals, such is Bi-Se mineral association represented by bismuthine, galenobismuthine, fridrihite, crupcaite, emplectite, latacarite, native bismuth and cosalite (Серафимовски et al., 1990). According to the considerations of generation, time of mineral(s) formation and composition, several stages of formation were discriminated within the Buchim deposit, each in conjuction with its assemblage. The metamorphic stage has been characterized by relict Ti-Fe oxide assemblage in amphibolites and Fe-sulphide assemblages in gneiss. Magmatic stage assemblage has been represented by Fe-Ti oxides in andesites. The very complex hydrothermal stage normally have been characterized by complex mineral assemblages, such as alterationhydrothermal assemblage, hydrothermal assemblage, high temperature Fe-Cu sulphide assemblage, lower temperature Fe-Cu-(Zn, Pb, Bi, Mo) sulphide assemblage, epithermal Fe-Cu sulphide assemblage and oxide and sulphide mineral assemblages produced during the secondary enrichment process.

#### METHODOLOGY

The essential stage of the study, sampling, was realized with direct coordination with an experienced mining geologists and mineral processing professionals from the Buchim mine. Samples were chosen to be representative of its kind. Sampling stage was followed by stage of preparation of samples for laboratory analyses and preparation of polished ore and thin sections. Qualitative and quantitative mineralogical examinations were performed on specialized polarizing microscopes ZEISS Axiolab Pol No. 70 and LEITZ AM-PLIVAL No. 2000 with an integrated camera (for documenting the details). After the study under polarizing microscope were determined samples for analysis under the electron microprobe and scanning electron microscopy. Scanning Electron Microscope was the JEOL JSM 35 CF equiped with the XRF microprobe TRACOR NORTHERN TN-2000 in an energy dispersive system (EDS)

and using standards from the manufacturer JEOL. Energy 25 keV, counting time at least 10 s for each element. Microprobe beam:  $2 \cdot 10^{-9}$  A. On specimen were performed analyses on ore and silicate minerals followed by analysis at lowest magnification (scaning area of 8 mm<sup>2</sup>). The photographs were made in a back scattered electron mode-COMPO. The results were summarized on powerful computer connected to the SEM.

The whole rock analysis followed ussual procedure. Test portion of 0,1 g is fused with  $LiBO_4$  in a muffle furnace at 1000 °C. Obtained transparent melt is dissolved with diluted HNO<sub>3</sub> at heating and is transferred in a volumetric flask. All oxides are measured in the solution by ICP-AES after a previous calibrating with standard solutions. Blank sample is determined in parallel and a suitable certified reference material (CRM).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results obtained by the analysis of samples, under the state of art analytical equipment mentioned above, are given and discussed in the text below. For better understanding we have grouped analyzed minerals in two natural subgroups: silicate minerals or rock forming minerals and ore minerals. We have focused our study to these particular silicate minerals because they are participating into the composition of gneisses and amphibolites, which have hosted ore bearing andesites. Pyrite and chalcopyrite as major minerals were of special interest.

#### Amphiboles (%)

Analysis of amphiboles have shown quite consistent composition, either some of the ana-

lyzed components have shown higher concentrations (Na<sub>2</sub>O,  $K_2O$ , TiO<sub>2</sub> and MnO), see Table 1.

The fact that the amphiboles have the most complex chemical composition of all rock forming minerals due to high ion substitution flexibility. This was confirmed once again with our analyses. As can be seen from the Table above amphibole's composition is complex and in general belong to a hornblende group or even more precisely somewhere between the ferrohorneblende and magnesiohornblende endmost group members. The calculated structural formula could be represented as Na<sub>(0.31-0.90)</sub> Ca<sub>(1.77-2.91)</sub> K<sub>(0.09-14.60)</sub> Mg<sub>(2.62-4.55)</sub> Fe<sub>(1.59-2.76)</sub> Al<sub>(1.14-3.57)</sub> Si<sub>(0.2-7.27)</sub> O<sub>(22.00)</sub> (OH)<sub>2</sub>.

#### Table 1

Quantitative x-ray spectral microanalyses of amphiboles from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit (%)

	Na <sub>2</sub> O	MgO	$Al_2O_3$	SiO <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	CaO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	FeO
B-3	1.21	14.01	6.42	50.01	0.37	11.77	0.17	0.33	14.41
B-4	2.03	12.98	10.29	45.96	0.60	11.79	0.28	0.26	14.07
B-6	2.40	11.33	13.92	42.55	1.30	11.64	0.56	0.30	13.60
B-7	1.03	12.22	7.15	46.72	0.44	13.68	0.36	0.35	15.88
B-8	1.84	12.16	12.05	0.81	45.54	10.81	0.47	0.43	13.14
B-10	1.59	13.28	12.03	45.85	0.62	10.94	1.10	0.21	12.57

#### Biotites (%)

Biotite analyses under the electron microprobe have shown interesting composition. Beside more or less standard values for  $K_2O$ ,  $Al_2O_3$  and  $SiO_2$  were determined. Opposite to them FeO (16.06–17.62%), TiO<sub>2</sub> (1.75–2.53%) have shown increased values while the MgO was characterized by lowered values (12.49–15.76%), Table 2.

Analyzed biotites have shown quite uniform composition, more oriented to the phlogopite species. Calculated structural formula in general looks like this one:  $K_{(0.95-1.23)}Mg_{(1.55-1.91)}Fe_{(1.09-1.20)}Al_{(1.22-1.45)}Si_{(3.06-3.25)}O_{(10)}(OH)_2$ .

#### Table 2

Quantitative x-ray spectral microanalyses of biotites from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit (%)

	Na <sub>2</sub> O	MgO	$Al_2O_3$	SiO <sub>2</sub>	$K_2O$	CaO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	FeO
B-6	0.86	14.39	13.88	38.39	9.62	< 0.01	1.94	0.11	17.62
B-7	< 0.01	12.49	12.36	38.88	11.53	< 0.01	2.53	0.09	17.05
B-10	< 0.01	15.76	15.10	37.61	9.16	< 0.01	1.75	< 0.01	16.06

#### K-feldspar (%)

Very common K-feldspars were analyzed in the same manner as the previous oxide minerals. Usual concentrations were determined for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>O. Increased concentrations were determined for Na<sub>2</sub>O (1.49–2.57%), FeO (0.10–2.62%), MnO (0.07–0.16%), MgO (0.36–0.79%) and TiO<sub>2</sub> (0.09–0.17%). Results from eight electron microprobe analyses are given in Table 3.

#### Table 3

Quantitative x-ray spectral microanalyses of K-feldspars from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit (%)

	Na <sub>2</sub> O	MgO	$Al_2O_3$	SiO <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	CaO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	FeO
B-1	2.10	< 0.01	17.80	65.49	14.86	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.10
В-3	2.57	< 0.01	18.58	64.89	13.08	< 0.01	0.09	< 0.01	0.21
B-4	1.89	0.36	18.17	64.03	14.56	< 0.01	0.10	< 0.01	0.30
B-6	2.20	< 0.01	18.49	65.47	13.05	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.16	0.23
B-7	2.01	< 0.01	16.06	63.55	14.96	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.07	2.62
B-8	2.54	< 0.01	18.74	64.72	13.55	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.17
В-9	1.49	0.79	19.56	62.93	13.93	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.83
B-10	1.87	< 0.01	18.35	64.68	14.55	< 0.01	0.17	< 0.01	0.28

Determined compositions of K-feldspar have shown that analyzed samples are more oriented to the potassium representatives. This was supported by the calculated structural formula:  $K_{(0.77-0.90)}$ Na<sub>(0.13-0.23)</sub> Al<sub>(0.89-1.07)</sub> Si<sub>(2.92-3.01)</sub> O<sub>(8.00)</sub>. Composition given in the formula is in conformity to the sanidine composition (K, Na)(Si, Al)<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub>.

#### Plagioclase (%)

Study of plagioclase minerals have resulted with more or less usual concentration of oxides (Table 4). The consistency in their composition was manifested through the concentration of Na<sub>2</sub>O (8.04-10.49%), Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (19.16-24.06%), SiO<sub>2</sub> (60.87-68.39%), K<sub>2</sub>O (0.24-0.51%), CaO (0.57-6.22%), MnO (0.07-0.09%), FeO (0.09-0.23%).

The structural formula calculated from the chemical composition could be written in the following manner: Na (0.695-0.893) Al (0.992-1.264) Si (2.713 $_{3.01}O_{(8.00)}$ . The composition as this fits quite fine in a idealized plagioclase (albite) formula NaAl-SiO<sub>3</sub>O<sub>8</sub>, with an exception of B-4, which shows composition close to the oligoclase. Occasionally in a structural formula occur K<sub>≤0.297</sub> and K<sub>≤0.027</sub>.

From the tables above it can be concluded that the analyzed major ore forming minerals are direct represents of the major components that are building up gneisses (plagioclase and K-feldspars) and amphibolites (amphiboles and chlorites). Also, it can be seen that the minerals are relatively fresh and without significant signs of transformation into sericite and kaolinite, which could cause problems in the ore processing. However, these results are within the frame usual for rocks that have hosted hydrothermal ore mineralization.

By analogy to study of rock forming minerals we have performed analyses, under the electron microprobe, of major ore minerals present in the Buchim deposit (pyrite and chalcopyrite).

#### Table 4

Quantitative x-ray spectral microanalyses of K-feldspars from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit (%)

	Na <sub>2</sub> O	MgO	Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	SiO <sub>2</sub>	K <sub>2</sub> O	CaO	TiO <sub>2</sub>	MnO	FeO
B-1	10.49	< 0.01	19.16	68.39	0.24	0.75	< 0.01	0.09	0.21
B-4	8.04	0.24	24.06	60.87	0.51	6.22	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.09
B-6	10.28	< 0.01	20.75	68.06	0.48	0.57	< 0.01	0.07	0.23

#### Pyrite

The goal of the performed study of pyrites was to determine the exact composition of this mineral within the Buchim porphyry copper deposit. First of all, collected ore, concentrate and waste samples were studied under the polarizing microscope. After this preliminary study and selection we have proceeded to analysis under the electron microprobe. We have analyzed different morphological types of pyrites trying to determine the concentration of certain representative metals, which usually occur in porphyry deposits. All pyrites analyzed under the electron microprobe are shown on the Figure 3.

From the photographs can be seen that were analyzed representative, individual and compact pyrite grains, either sometimes some of them were tectonicaly deformed. Trying to determine is the composition within a single pyrite grain permanent or changes we have analyzed central and rim parts of pyrite grains. By the exprience we knew that the major changes in composition, concerning certain elements such is arsenic, occur exactly within the rim parts of mineral grains. Also, that is why we have analyzed pyrite grains from concentrate and waste, since they have direct influence on the quality of the semi-final product from the Buchim Mine. The results obtained under the electron microprobe are given in Table 5.

From 14 performed anlyses of pyrite it was confirmed that it shows the usual composition with around 52–53 % S and 47 % Fe. Only in few samples was determined presence of nickel and cobalt which substitute the iron in the mineral structure. Opposite to them were determined increased concentrations of arsenic in pyrites from samples B-1, B-4 and B-7. This, once again have confirmed that in pyrites from particular parts of the Buchim deposit there are increased concentrations of As. They have to be monitored closely in the technological process and should be expected increased As concentration in concentrates, also. The structural formula calculations have shown that the pyrite formula could be written as  $Fe_{(0.98-1.05)}$  [Ni<sub>(0.002-</sub>

 $_{0.02)}\,Co_{(0.01-0.09)}\,As_{(0.002-0.004)}]$   $S_{(1.95-1.98)},$  which is very close to the idealized one FeS2.



Fig. 3. Microprobe photographs of typical pyrites from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit with locations of microprobe analyses

#### Table 5

Quantitative x-ray spectral microanalyses of pyrite from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit (%)

	S	Fe	Cu	Ni	Со	As	Sb
B-1	52.31	46.73	< 0.01	0.15	< 0.01	0.18	< 0.01
B-2	52.52	47.24	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-3	52.70	46.83	< 0.01	0.17	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-4	51.93	47.14	< 0.01	0.15	< 0.01	0.22	< 0.01
B-5	52.13	47.73	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-6	52.56	46.81	< 0.01	0.09	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-7	51.34	47.99	< 0.01	0.10	< 0.01	0.19	< 0.01
B-7	51.87	47.80	< 0.01	0.17	< 0.01	0.15	< 0.01
B-8	52.59	47.33	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
В-9	52.14	44.95	< 0.01	0.75	1.64	< 0.01	< 0.01
В-9	52.31	46.21	< 0.01	1.03	0.27	< 0.01	< 0.01
В-9	52.38	46.29	< 0.01	0.45	0.42	< 0.01	< 0.01
В-9	52.25	45.83	< 0.01	1.42	0.45	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-10	52.24	47.76	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01

#### Chalcopyrite

Beside the pyrites from the Buchim deposit, we have analyzed chalcopyrites from this deposit. We also aimed to find out are there any increased concentrations of other elements, particularly As. In the process of analysis we have run chalcopyrites from ore, concentrate and waste. That approach gave us an unique opportunity to study chalcopyrites, which have passed through the whole technological process of the Buchim Mine. Some of the analyzed chalcopyrite grains are given on the Figure 4. From the Figure 3 can be seen numerous individual chalcopyrite grains, while eight of them were analyzed under the electron microprobe. The results from the electron microprobe are given in Table 6.

Opposite to the pyrite composition above, the composition of chalcopyrite is highly pure. Its composition is in conformity with those given by Criddle and Stanley (1986), while the structural formula calculations have shown that the chalcopyrite formula could be written as  $Cu_{(0.99-1.06)}$  Fe<sub>(0.99-1.03)</sub> S<sub>(1.91-2.02)</sub>, which is equal with the idealized one CuFeS<sub>2</sub>.



Fig. 4. Microprobe photographs of typical pyrites from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit with locations of microprobe analyses

#### Table 6

Quantitative x-ray spectral microanalyses of chalcopyrite from the Buchim porphyry copper deposit (%)

	S	Fe	Cu	Ni	Со	As	Sb
В-2	35.33	30.23	34.32	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-4	33.21	30.61	36.19	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-5	34.37	30.46	34.75	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-5	33.40	30.85	35.57	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-7	32.97	30.89	36.02	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-8	34.36	29.80	34.93	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-9	33.66	31.02	35.03	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
B-9	33.78	30.72	35.50	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01

#### CONCLUSION

From the presented facts above it can be concluded that the major minerals in the mineral association determined within the Buchim ore deposit are feldspar, amphibole, biotite, quartz and chlorite as rock forming minerals and chalcopyrite and pyrite as ore minerals. Their presence, beside under the polarizing microscope, was confirmed with xray structural analysis and electron microprobe. The results of the rock forming minerals have shown quite uniform compositions. Amphiboles were determined as hornblende, biotites were characterized by increased Fe and Ti and decreased Mg concentrations, plagioclases were determined as sanidine while K-feldspars were represented by albites and oligoclases.

Calculated structural formulas confirmed the analytical results and clearly defined the distinctions between measured and theoretical values of studied minerals. The study of major ore minerals (chalcopyrite and pyrite) have shown that these two minerals are the most common ones within the Buchim ore deposit. Chalcopyrite as the major Cu-bearing mineral have shown high permanency of its composition. Opposite to chalcopyrite, pyrite have shown increased concentrations of As, which have been related with substitutions of sulfur. Increased arsenic concentrations ranged  $0.15 \div 0.22$  % As. Such concentrations are indicative and demand detailed analysis of pyrite phases and quantitative determination of As-bearing pyrite phases in the whole ore mass within the Buchim deposit. All other determined minerals have shown more or less standard features.

#### REFERENCES

- Criddle, A. J. and Stanley, C. J., 1986: The Quantitative Data File for Ore Minerals of the Commission on Ore Microscopy of the International Mineralogical Association. Second Issue, British Museum (Natural History), 420 p.
- Cvijić, J., 1906: Osnove za geografiju i geologiju Makedonije i Stare Srbije. Knj. I, Beograd, 392 str.
- Иванов, Т., 1982: Мешалогенешска сшудија на рудниош реон Бучим-Дамјан-Боров Дол. Фонд стр. док. Рудника, Бу им.
- Janković, S., Petković, M., Tomson, I. N. and Kravcov, V., 1980: Porphyry copper deposits in the Serbo-Macedonian Province, Southeastern Europe. Janković, S. and Sillitoe, R. H. (eds.): *European Copper Deposits*, UNESCO-IGCP Projects Nos 169 and 60, Belgrade, pp. 96–102.
- Palinkaš, A. L., Strmić-Palinkaš, S., Bermanec, V., Serafimovski, T. and Vincetić, I., 2008: Fluid inclusions in quartz from the Buchim Cu-porphyry deposit, Eastern Macedonia. Прв кон pec на геолозище на Решублика Македонија, Охрид, Зборник на трудови, стр. 193–200.
- Pavičević, M., Rakić, S., Gržetić, I., Golijanin, D., 1982: Studija pojavljivanja zlata i srebra kao i drugih pratećih elemenata u rudama centralnog dela "Bučim". ULEMA. Rud.-geol. fak., Beograd, 165 str.
- Petrunov, R., Serafimovski, T., Dragov, P., 2001: New finding of PGE-mineralisation in porphyry-copper environment the Buchim deposit, Macedonia: preliminary microscope and microprobe data. *ABCD-GEODE 2001 workshop Vata Bai*, Romania. Vol. **79**, suppl. 2, pp 79–80.
- Serafimovski, T., 1990: *Metalogenija na zonata Lece-Halkidik.* Dokt. Disertacija, Rud.-geol. fak., Štip, 391 str.
- Серафимовски, Т., Манков, С., Чифлиганец, В., 1990: Бизмутско-селенската минерализација во бакарното наоѓалиште Бучим, Радовиш. XII кон*гр. геол. Југ., III*, Охрид, pp 73–85.

- Serafimovski, T., Čifliganec, V., Janković, S., Boev, B., 1996: Genetic Model of the Buchim Porphyry Copper Deposit, Republic of Macedonia. Proceedings of the Annual Meeting, UNESCO-IGCP Project 356, Vol. 1, 63–75, Sofija.
- Serafimovski, T. and Čifliganec, V.,1996: The Buchim ore District: Geological Setting and Types of ore Mineralization. Terraines of Serbia, The Formation of the Geologic Framework of Serbia and the Adjacent Regions. Editors: Vera Knežević-Djordjević and Branislav Krstić, 341–346, Belgrade.
- Serafimovski, T., Čifliganec, V., Tasev, G., 2001: The porphyry Cu-Au mineral deposits related with small volcanic intrusions in the Republic of Macedonia. *ABCD-GEODE* 2001 workshop Vata Bai, Romania. Vol. **79**, suppl. 2, pp 114a.
- Serafimovski, T., Tasev, G. and Lazarov, P., 2006: Au-Ag-Te-Bi-Se minerals associated with porphyry copper mineralization in the Buchim copper mine, Republic of Macedonia. Au-Ag-Te-Se deposits IGCP Project 486, *Field Workshop*, Izmir, Turkey, pp. 154–158.
- Strasimirov, S., Serafimovski, T., Kovačev, V., 1996: Temperatures of the Ore-Forming process in the Buchim Porphyry Copper Deposit (Macedonia) – Data from Fluid Inclusion Studies. *Геология рудных месторожений*, Tom **38**, N. 1, 373–377, Moskva.
- Čifliganec, V., 1982: Prateće korisne komponente porfirskog ležista bakra Bučim i mogućnosti njihove valorizacije. Mag. tez, Rud.-geol. fak., Beograd.
- Čifliganec, V., 1987: Metalogenetske karakteristike ležista bakra Bučim u srpsko-makedonskoj metalogenetskoj provinciji. Dok. disertacija, Rud.-geol. fak., Beograd.
- Чифлиганец, В., 1993. Рудишша и йојави на бакар во Реџублика Македонија: шийови и реонизација со йосебен осврш на йорфирскошо рудишше на бакар Бучим. Рударско-геолошки факултет, Штип. 303 стр.

Резиме

#### СОСТАВ НА ГЛАВНИТЕ МИНЕРАЛИ ОД ПОРФИРСКОТО БАКАРНО НАОЃАЛИШТЕ БУЧИМ, РЕПУБЛИКА МАКЕДОНИЈА

Тодор Серафимовски<sup>1</sup>, Тадеј Доленец<sup>2</sup>, Горан Тасев, Настја Роган<sup>2</sup>, Матеј Доленец<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Универзишеш "Гоце Делчев", Факулшеш за природни и шехнички науки, Инсшишуш за геологија, ул. Гоце Делчев 89, МК-2000 Шпиип, Република Македонија <sup>2</sup>Факулшеш за природни и шехнички науки, Оддел за геологија, Универзишеш во Љубљана, Ашкерчева 12, 1000 Љубљана, Словенија todor.serafimovski@ugd.edu.mk // goran.tasev@ugd.edu.mk

Клучни зборови: порфирско бакарно наоѓалиште Бучим; главни минерали; состав

Нашето тековно проучување на главните рудни и силикатни минерали ги вклучуваше најважните минерали во рамките на наоѓалиштето Бучим. Силикатните минерали беа претставени од плагиокласи, Кфелдспати, биотити и амфиболи. И покрај комплексните формули тие минерали покажаа прилично уедначени состави. Амфиболите беа одредени како хорнбленда, биотите се карактеризираа со зголемени концентрации на Fe и Ti и намалени концентрации на Mg, плагиокласите беа одредени како санидини, додека Кфелдспати беа претставени од албити и олигоклас. Покажавме дека составот на халкпиритот е со висока чистота и без некое значително присуство на Ni, Co, As и Sb (<0,01%). Анализите на пиритите покажаа зголемени концентрации на арсен ( $0,15 \div 0,22$  % As). Таквите концентрации на арсен се индикативни, но и се многу карактеристични за нискотемпературните пирити од порфирските бакарни наоѓалишта. Покрај арсенот во пиритите беа одредени зголемени концентрации на никел од 0,45 до 1,42 % Ni, додека Со и Sb покажаа концентрации под 0,01 %.