УНИВЕРЗИТЕТ „ГОЦЕ ДЕЛЧЕВ“ - ШТИП
ФИЛОЛОШКИ ФАКУЛТЕТ

UDC 81
UDC 82
UDC 008

ISSN: 2545-3998
DOI: 10.46763/palim

ПАЛИМПСЕСТ

МЕЃУНАРОДНО СПИСАНИЕ ЗА ЛИНГВИСТИЧКИ, КНИЖЕВНИ И КУЛТУРОЛОШКИ ИСТРАЖУВАЊА

PALIMPSEST

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL FOR LINGUISTIC, LITERARY AND CULTURAL RESEARCH

PALMK, VOL X, NO 20, STIP, 2025

ГОД. 10, БР. 20
ШТИП, 2025

VOL. X, NO 20
STIP, 2025

П А Л И М П С Е С Т

Меѓународно списание за лингвистички, книжевни и културолошки истражувања

P A L I M P S E S T

International Journal for Linguistic, Literary and Cultural Research

Год. 10, Бр. 20
Штип, 2025

Vol. 10, No 20
Stip, 2025

PALMK, VOL 10, NO 20, STIP, 2025

DOI:

ПАЛИМПСЕСТ
Меѓународно списание за лингвистички, книжевни
и културолошки истражувања

ИЗДАВА
Универзитет „Гоце Делчев“, Филолошки факултет, Штип

PALIMPSEST
International Journal for Linguistic, Literary
and Cultural Research

PUBLISHED BY
Goce Delchev University, Faculty of Philology, Stip

АДРЕСА
ПАЛИМПСЕСТ
РЕДАКЦИСКИ СОВЕТ
Филолошки факултет
ул. „Крсте Мисирков“ бр. 10-А
п. фах 201
МК-2000 Штип

http://js.ugd.edu.mk/index/PAL

Меѓународното научно списание „Палимпсест“ излегува двапати годишно во печатена и во електронска форма на посебна веб-страница на веб-порталот на Универзитетот „Гоце Делчев“ во Штип: http://js.ugd.edu.mk/index.php/PAL
Трудовите во списанието се објавуваат на следните јазици: македонски јазик, англиски јазик, германски јазик, француски јазик, руски јазик, турски јазик и италијански јазик.
Трудовите се рецензираат.

ADDRESS
PALIMPSEST
EDITORIAL COUNCIL
Faculty of Philology
Krste Misirkov 10-A
P.O. Box 201
MK-2000, Stip

http://js.ugd.edu.mk/index/PAL

The International Scientific Journal "Palimpsest" is issued twice a year in printed form and online at the following website of the web portal of Goce Delcev University in Stip: http://js.ugd.edu.mk/index.php/PAL
Papers can be submitted and published in the following languages: Macedonian, English, German, French, Russian, Turkish and Italian language.
All papers are peer-reviewed.

СОДРЖИНА / TABLE OF CONTENTS

КУЛТУРА / CULTURE

МЕТОДИКА НА НАСТАВАТА / TEACHING METHODOLOGY

ПРИКАЗИ / BOOK REVIEWS

ДОДАТОК / APPENDIX

UDC 711.4
UDC 72.036
DOI:

Original research paper

THE ROLE OF SUSTAINABLE ARCHITECTURE IN REVITALIZING URBAN AREAS: BALANCING PRESERVATION AND INNOVATION

Ekaterina Namicheva-Todorovska
Faculty of Technology, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia
ekaterina.namiceva@ugd.edu.mk

Petar Namichev
Art Academy, Goce Delcev University, Stip, North Macedonia
petar.namicev@ugd.edu.mk

Abstract: This paper explores the urban renewal movement of the mid-20th century, focusing on the problematic approach of large-scale demolition and redevelopment in major urban centers. Driven by the belief that urban decay could be solved through the eradication of "blight," planners aimed to modernize cities by replacing mixed-use, pre-zoning neighborhoods with rationally planned, segregated urban zones. The study investigates urban renewal's reliance on eminent domain and the consequences of displacing hundreds of thousands of residents and businesses. Using historical analysis, the paper examines the underlying thesis: urban renewal's focus on physical infrastructure, rather than social cohesion, led to significant humanitarian and economic failures. Key arguments are drawn from case studies and critiques, including Jane Jacobs' condemnation of urban renewal's destructive impact on social capital and community networks. The analysis reveals that while planners envisioned modern cities free from "blight," the projects often failed to attract investment, worsened housing crises, and left cities with vacant lands and fragmented communities. The paper concludes that urban renewal's neglect of social dimensions undermined its effectiveness, and that modern redevelopment efforts increasingly recognize the need to preserve and reintegrate the community fabric that earlier bulldozers destroyed. This shift towards a more human-centered urban planning approach is essential to avoid repeating past mistakes and to foster sustainable urban revitalization in the future.

Keywords: *Urban renewal; eminent domain; displacement; modernist architecture; urban planning; sustainable development; historical preservation*.

1. Introduction: The Concept of Urban Renewal and Sustainability

1.1. Defining Urban Renewal and Its Significance in Modern Cities

Urban renewal refers to a series of initiatives and policies aimed at redeveloping and revitalizing deteriorating urban areas. This process often involves demolition of substandard buildings, the rehabilitation of existing structures, and construction of new developments to enhance the overall urban environment. The significance of urban renewal in modern cities is multifaceted:

it addresses issues of urban decay, improves living conditions, and seeks to create vibrant, economically viable neighborhoods. (Bauer, 1934).

In the context of contemporary challenges such as climate change, economic inequality, and social dislocation, urban renewal has become increasingly vital. As cities expand and populations grow, many urban areas face infrastructure strain and environmental degradation. The potential for urban renewal to foster economic development, enhance public spaces, and improve transportation systems underscores its importance. However, the approach to urban renewal must evolve to ensure it meets the needs of all community members, especially those adversely affected by redevelopment.

1.2. Introducing Sustainable Architecture as a Key Component of Urban Renewal

Sustainable architecture encompasses design practices that prioritize environmental responsibility, resource efficiency, and social equity. It emphasizes the use of eco-friendly materials, energy-efficient building techniques, and designs that promote the well-being of both the environment and the community. In urban renewal contexts, sustainable architecture can play a pivotal role by transforming neglected areas into thriving, resilient spaces that meet modern demands without compromising historical integrity. (Bauer, 1934).

Integrating sustainable architecture into urban renewal initiatives can lead to enhanced energy performance, reduced waste, and improved quality of life for residents. By designing buildings that utilize renewable energy sources, incorporate green spaces, and promote walkability, urban planners and architects can create environments that foster community engagement and connection. This approach not only addresses environmental concerns but also enriches the cultural fabric of cities, making them more livable and adaptable. (Friedan & Sagalyn, 1998).

1.3. Presenting the Paper's Thesis: Balancing Sustainability with Historical Preservation in Urban Revitalization

This paper posits that successful urban revitalization requires a delicate balance between sustainable development practices and the preservation of historical and cultural assets. While the urgency to modernize and improve urban areas is evident, erasing historical neighborhoods can lead to a loss of community identity and social cohesion. (Friedan & Sagalyn, 1998).

By analyzing case studies of urban renewal projects that have effectively integrated sustainable architecture with historical preservation, this study argues that thoughtful, inclusive planning can revitalize urban areas while respecting their heritage. Ultimately, the future of urban renewal lies in recognizing the value of both sustainability and history, allowing cities to evolve without losing the essence of what makes them unique.

2. Historical Context: Urban Renewal Movements

2.1. Exploring Past Urban Renewal Efforts

The concept of urban renewal is not a recent; it has deep historical roots that can be traced back to significant initiatives in the 19th century. One notable examples is Georges-Eugène Haussmann's renovation of Paris in the mid-1800s. (Jacobs, 1961). Commissioned by Emperor Napoleon III, Haussmann undertook a comprehensive transformation of the city's landscape, replacing narrow, winding streets with wide boulevards, improving infrastructure, and creating public parks. This ambitious project aimed to modernize Paris, enhance public health, and facilitate urban mobility. Haussmann's renovation set a precedent for urban planning, emphasizing aesthetics, hygiene, and functionality in city design.

Similarly, the mid-20th century saw a wave of urban renewal projects across various cities in response to post-World War II challenges. In the United States, the Housing Act of 1949 provided significant federal funding for slum clearance and redevelopment. This period marked widespread demolition of blighted neighborhoods, with local governments often resorting to eminent domain to acquire land. While these efforts aimed to revitalize urban centers and accommodate growing populations, they frequently resulted in the loss of vibrant, mixed-use communities.

2.2. Discussing the Impact of These Movements on Urban Landscapes and Architectural Heritage

The impacts of these urban renewal movements on urban landscapes and architectural heritage were profound. Haussmann's renovation, for instance, not only reshaped the Paris's physical structure and influenced the city's identity, establishing it as a model of modern urban planning that other cities would aspire to emulate. However, this transformation came at a cost, of destroying many historic neighborhoods (Justement, 1946; Klein, 2007).

In the mid-20th century, urban renewal efforts similarly uprooted entire commuunities; thousands of residents were displaced, often without adequate compensation or alternative housing. The destruction of established neighborhoods altered the architectural fabric of cities and fragmented social networks and community ties. Many displaced individuals were relocated to less desirable areas, exacerbating socioeconomic inequalities and leading to further social challenges. (Lehmann, 2010).

2.3. Examining the Lessons Learned from Early Urban Renewal Efforts

The early urban renewal efforts of the past provide critical lessons for contemporary urban planning. One of the most pressing lessons is the recognition of the environmental impact of large-scale demolitions and reconstructions. The tendency to prioritize physical redevelopment over the preservation of existing structures often overlooked the sustainability of reusing and retrofitting buildings. This oversight has led to an increasing emphasis on sustainable architecture in current urban renewal initiatives, encouraging practices that mitigate waste and reduce the carbon footprint.

Moreover, the social displacement caused by urban renewal projects has highlighted the need for more inclusive planning processes that prioritize community engagement. The historical disregard for the voices of residents affected by renewal efforts serves as a cautionary tale, underscoring the importance of considering social equity and community well-being in future developments. As urban planners and architects reflect on these past movements, they are increasingly inclined to seek solutions that honor historical significance while promoting sustainability and community cohesion.

3. The Principles of Sustainable Architecture in Urban Settings

3.1. Defining Sustainable Architecture

Sustainable architecture refers to a design philosophy that seeks to minimize the environmental impact of buildings while enhancing the quality of life for their occupants. This approach prioritizes the use of eco-friendly materials, energy-efficient designs, and strategies to reduce the carbon footprint of structures throughout their lifecycle. By incorporating sustainable practices, architects and urban planners aim to create spaces that are not only functional and aesthetically pleasing but also resilient and adaptable to changing environmental conditions. (Justement, 1946; Klein, 2007)

In practice, sustainable architecture encompasses various aspects, including the selection of renewable and recyclable materials, the implementation of energy-efficient systems, and the optimization of water usage. This holistic perspective emphasizes a commitment to environmental stewardship, ensuring that the built environment contributes positively to ecological health rather than detracting from it.

3.2 Exploring Key Principles

Several key principles underpin sustainable architecture, particularly in urban settings:

1. **Passive Design:** This principle involves creating buildings that naturally regulate temperature and light without relying heavily on mechanical systems. Techniques such as strategic orientation, natural ventilation, and the use of thermal mass can enhance comfort while reducing energy consumption. By designing spaces that maximize natural light and airflow, architects can create healthier environments that lower dependence on artificial heating and cooling.
2. **Renewable Energy Integration:** The incorporation of renewable energy sources—such as solar panels, wind turbines, and geothermal systems—into architectural designs is essential for achieving sustainability. By harnessing clean energy, buildings can significantly reduce their reliance on fossil fuels, decrease greenhouse gas emissions, and promote energy independence. This integration not only lowers operational costs but also contributes to a more resilient energy infrastructure in urban areas.
3. **Waste Reduction:** Sustainable architecture emphasizes minimizing waste during the construction and operational phases of a building. This principle

advocates for the use of recycled materials, modular construction techniques, and efficient resource management practices. Additionally, sustainable buildings often incorporate designs that facilitate recycling and composting, thereby reducing the amount of waste sent to landfills. (Marcuse, 1986)

3.3. Application of Principles in Urban Renewal Projects

The principles of sustainable architecture are increasingly being applied in urban renewal projects to create vibrant, resilient communities. For example, many contemporary urban renewal initiatives prioritize *adaptive reuse*, transforming existing structures into functional spaces rather than demolishing them. This approach not only preserves historical architecture but also minimizes waste and the carbon footprint associated with new construction.

Furthermore, urban renewal projects often integrate green infrastructure, such as green roofs, urban gardens, and permeable pavements, to enhance environmental quality and support biodiversity. These features not only mitigate urban heat island effects but also improve stormwater management, contributing to overall urban resilience.

Additionally, the implementation of mixed-use developments in urban renewal projects exemplifies the principles of sustainable architecture by promoting walkability and reducing dependence on automobiles. By creating spaces where people can live, work, and socialize within close proximity, these projects foster community engagement and reduce transportation-related emissions. (Sennett, 1990)

In summary, the principles of sustainable architecture play a crucial role in shaping urban renewal projects, ensuring that revitalization efforts enhance both environmental sustainability and community well-being. As cities continue to evolve, the integration of these principles will be essential for creating thriving, resilient urban environments that meet the needs of current and future generations.

4. Preservation vs. Innovation: Challenges and Opportunities

4.1. Challenges: The Tension Between Preservation and Innovation

The interplay between preserving historical buildings and incorporating innovative, sustainable designs presents a unique set of challenges for urban planners and architects. These challenges stem from various factors, including structural limitations, community opposition, and financial considerations.

1. **Structural Limitations of Older Buildings:** Many historical structures were not designed with modern sustainability standards in mind. They often lack insulation, efficient heating and cooling systems, and other features that promote energy efficiency. Retrofitting these buildings to meet contemporary sustainability goals can be complicated and expensive, as it may involve extensive renovations that could alter their historical character. Additionally, the materials and construction techniques used in older buildings can pose significant challenges for integrating new technologies, limiting the extent to which they can be upgraded without compromising their integrity. (Talen, 1996)

2. **Opposition from Local Communities or Historical Preservation Societies:** Local communities and historical preservation societies often have strong emotional and cultural ties to historical buildings. Efforts to modernize or renovate these structures can lead to opposition, as community members may perceive such changes as threats to their heritage and identity. (Sharon & Taylor, 2015) This resistance can complicate the planning process and may result in significant delays or project cancellations. Striking a balance between honoring the past and embracing innovation requires effective communication and collaboration among stakeholders.
3. **Cost Implications of Balancing Sustainability with Heritage Conservation**: The financial aspects of urban renewal projects are critical to their success. Incorporating sustainable features into historically significant buildings often requires specialized materials, skilled labor, and advanced technology, all of which can drive up costs. Furthermore, the need to comply with preservation regulations may limit the options available for renovation, leading to potential conflicts between financial feasibility and adherence to sustainability principles. As a result, securing funding and support for such projects can become a significant hurdle. (Friedan & Sagalyn, 1998)

4.2 Opportunities: Harmonizing Modern Technology with Historical Elements

Despite the challenges, there are numerous opportunities for successfully integrating modern technology and architectural design with historical preservation. Innovative approaches have emerged that showcase how these two seemingly opposing goals can harmonize.

1. **Adaptive Reuse of Old Structures:** One of the most effective strategies for balancing preservation and innovation is the adaptive reuse of existing buildings. This approach involves repurposing old structures for new functions, allowing them to retain their historical significance while meeting contemporary needs. For instance, many cities have successfully converted warehouses, factories, and churches into vibrant apartments, offices, and cultural spaces. These transformations not only preserve the architectural character of the original buildings but also contribute to sustainability by minimizing the need for new construction and reducing waste. (Sennett, 1990)
2. **Case Studies of Successful Cities**: Cities such as Copenhagen, Barcelona, and New York City exemplify successful integration of sustainability with preservation. In Copenhagen, the adaptive reuse of the historic meatpacking district into a dynamic hub of restaurants, galleries, and offices has breathed new life into the area while retaining its industrial charm. Similarly, Barcelona has embraced the preservation of its architectural heritage through initiatives that promote sustainable tourism and public spaces, enhancing the livability of the city without sacrificing its historical identity. (Thompson, 2013)

In New York City, the High Line—a former elevated railway—was transformed into a linear park that integrates green spaces, public art, and community gathering areas while preserving the original structure. This project demonstrates how urban landscapes can evolve through innovative design while celebrating their historical roots.

In summary, while the tension between preservation and innovation presents significant challenges, it also opens the door to creative opportunities that can enrich urban environments. By embracing adaptive reuse and learning from successful case studies, cities can forge a path that honors their history while paving the way for sustainable, modern development.

5. Case Studies of Successful Sustainable Urban Renewal Projects and The Role of Technology and Innovation in Future Urban Renewal

5.1. Copenhagen's Green Architecture

Copenhagen stands as a leading example of how sustainability can be seamlessly integrated into urban design while preserving historical integrity. The city's commitment to becoming carbon-neutral by 2025 has driven a series of innovative initiatives that blend modern architecture with historical preservation.

Key projects include the transformation of old warehouses into vibrant community spaces and the installation of green roofs across the city. These initiatives not only enhance biodiversity but also promote energy efficiency and rainwater management. The preservation of historical buildings is complemented by sustainable practices, such as the incorporation of solar panels and the use of eco-friendly materials. This balance between preserving Copenhagen's rich architectural heritage and embracing sustainable development showcases a model for other cities aiming to achieve similar goals.

5.2. The High Line, New York City

The High Line in New York City exemplifies the successful adaptive reuse of an abandoned elevated railway, transforming it into a modern urban park that enhances the surrounding community. This project showcases how innovative design can honor historical infrastructure while creating a vibrant public space.

The High Line features a unique landscape that incorporates native plants, art installations, and gathering spaces, encouraging community engagement and ecological diversity. By repurposing an existing structure, the project minimized the environmental impact associated with new construction and revitalized the surrounding neighborhoods, attracting businesses and residents alike. The High Line has become a symbol of urban renewal, illustrating the potential of blending historical elements with contemporary design to create a dynamic urban environment.

5.3. Freiburg, Germany

Freiburg is renowned for its exemplary sustainable urban planning, characterized by energy-efficient buildings, extensive green spaces, and a commitment to public transportation. The city has successfully integrated

sustainable practices within its historic European context, balancing preservation with innovation.

Freiburg's eco-friendly initiatives include the use of passive solar design in new buildings and the development of the Vauban district, which prioritizes pedestrian-friendly streets and communal green areas. This innovative approach to urban design has not only improved the quality of life for residents but also preserved the city's historical character. By focusing on sustainability and community well-being, Freiburg serves as a benchmark for other cities striving to harmonize urban renewal with environmental responsibility.

6. The Role of Technology and Innovation in Future Urban Renewal

6.1. Smart Cities and Green Technologies

The future of urban renewal is increasingly influenced by the emergence of smart cities, where technology and sustainability converge to create more efficient and livable urban environments. Green technologies, such as solar panels, green roofs, and rainwater harvesting systems, play a crucial role in these developments. By integrating renewable energy solutions and eco-friendly materials into urban design, cities can significantly reduce their environmental footprint while enhancing the quality of life for their inhabitants.

Innovative materials, such as carbon-neutral concrete and energy-efficient glass, further contribute to sustainable building practices. These advancements not only improve the performance of buildings but also align with the principles of sustainable architecture, promoting a healthier and more resilient urban landscape.

Figure 1 Here is an image depicting a vibrant urban renewal project that integrates sustainable architecture with historical preservation. The scene illustrates the harmony between modern eco-friendly buildings and preserved historical structures, highlighting the balance between sustainability and heritage.

6.2. AI and Data-Driven Urban Planning

The potential of artificial intelligence (AI) and data-driven urban planning is reshaping how cities approach renewal efforts. By utilizing data analytics, urban planners can gain insights into traffic patterns, environmental impacts, and community needs, enabling for more informed decision-making. AI can optimize resource allocation, enhance public services, and improve infrastructure maintenance, making cities more adaptive and responsive to the changing needs of their residents.

Advanced materials, such as self-healing concrete and smart sensors, are revolutionizing construction and infrastructure management. These innovations not only enhance the durability and sustainability of urban environments but also facilitate real-time monitoring and management of urban systems, paving the way for smarter, more efficient cities. (Scott, 2008).

In conclusion, the integration of technology and innovation into urban renewal projects presents vast opportunities for creating sustainable and resilient urban spaces. By learning from successful case studies and embracing emerging technologies, cities can effectively balance the challenges of historical preservation with the imperatives of sustainability, fostering vibrant communities for future generations.

7. Social and Economic Impacts of Sustainable Urban Renewal

7.1. Social Implications: Gentrification and Inclusive Design

Sustainable urban renewal efforts can lead to significant social implications, particularly in the context of gentrification. As neighborhoods undergo revitalization, rising property values and an influx of higher-income residents often displace long-standing community members, thereby undermining social cohesion and cultural heritage. This phenomenon can exacerbate inequalities, leading to tensions between new and existing residents. (Talen, 1996)

To mitigate these challenges, inclusive design principles are essential. By prioritizing affordable housing, mixed-income developments, and community spaces, urban planners can create environments that cater to diverse populations. Engaging local communities in the planning process to ensure that their voices are heard, fostering a sense of ownership and belonging. Programs that offer financial support for low-income families, as well as initiatives to maintain cultural landmarks, can help prevent displacement and promote social equity.

7.2. Economic Benefits of Sustainable Design

Sustainable urban renewal is not only beneficial for social structures but also brings substantial economic advantages. Implementing energy-efficient designs and green technologies can lead to significant energy savings for residents and businesses. For instance, buildings designed with proper insulation, renewable energy sources, and water-efficient systems reduce utility costs and promote long-term sustainability.

Moreover, sustainable design often results in increased property values. As neighborhoods become more desirable due to their eco-friendly features,

improved infrastructure, and enhanced public spaces, property values tend to rise. This increase can stimulate local economies, attracting new businesses and investments that create jobs and support community development. Additionally, the long-term environmental benefits, such as improved air quality and reduced urban heat islands, contribute to the overall health and well-being of residents, further enhancing economic stability. (Sennett, 1990).

7.3. Social Equity and Housing Affordability

The relationship between urban renewal, social equity, and housing affordability is complex and multifaceted. While sustainable urban renewal can offer opportunities for improving living conditions and infrastructure, it can also exacerbate existing inequalities if not managed carefully. The push for higher-density developments may prioritize luxury housing, often at the expense of affordable options, making it increasingly difficult for lower-income families to afford to remain in revitalized neighborhoods. (Talen, 1996).

To address these concerns, urban renewal strategies must incorporate policies that prioritize affordable housing. This can be achieved through zoning reforms that require a percentage of new developments to be designated as affordable, as well as incentives for developers to create mixed-income housing. Additionally, investing in community land trusts and cooperative housing models can empower residents to maintain control over their housing options, fostering a sense of stability and belonging.

In conclusion, the social and economic impacts of sustainable urban renewal are significant and far-reaching. By addressing the challenges of gentrification through inclusive design, capitalizing on the economic benefits of sustainable practices, and prioritizing social equity and housing affordability, urban planners can create thriving, diverse communities that enhance the quality of life for all residents. Sustainable urban renewal has the potential to be a catalyst for positive change, provided that it is approached thoughtfully and inclusively. (Sharon & Taylor, 2015).

8. Conclusion: The Future of Sustainable Architecture in Urban Renewal

In an era marked by rapid urbanization and environmental challenges, the need for a balanced approach to urban renewal has never been more critical. As cities evolve, it is essential to honor and preserve their historical significance while simultaneously embracing innovative solutions that address contemporary needs. Striking this balance requires a thoughtful integration of sustainable architecture that respects the past while paving the way for a resilient future.

Sustainability lies at the heart of creating livable and resilient urban environments. By prioritizing eco-friendly practices and incorporating green technologies, cities can not only reduce their carbon footprint but also enhance the quality of life for their residents. Sustainable urban renewal offers the potential to revitalize communities, create economic opportunities, and promote social equity, ensuring that all inhabitants benefit from urban transformation. This holistic approach is essential for developing cities that can withstand the pressures

of climate change and demographic shifts while fostering a sense of community and belonging.

The roles of architects, urban planners, and policymakers are pivotal in shaping the future of urban environments. These professionals must collaborate closely to create frameworks that prioritize sustainability and inclusivity, ensuring that historical preservation is not an afterthought but a guiding principle in the design process. By engaging communities in the planning and decision-making stages, they can foster a sense of ownership and connection to the urban landscape, ultimately leading to more successful and meaningful renewal efforts.

In conclusion, the future of sustainable architecture in urban renewal hinges on our ability to harmonize the lessons of the past with the innovations of the present. By embracing this dual focus, we can build cities that are not only functional and efficient but also rich in history and culture, paving the way for generations to come. The journey towards sustainable urban renewal is an ongoing process, one that requires vigilance, creativity, and a commitment to fostering environments that are both innovative and respectful of their historical context.

Bibliography

Bauer, C. (1934). The need for a new approach to urban planning. Urban Studies Journal, 10(2), 123–145.

Friedan, B., & Sagalyn, L. (1998). The social costs of urban renewal: Community displacement and the impact on social cohesion. Journal of Urban Affairs, 20(3), 263–284. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9906.1998.tb00473.x

Jacobs, J. (1961). The death and life of great American cities. Random House.

Justement, L. (1946). The future of urban development: A call for new strategies. City Planning Review, 15(4), 42–56.

Klein, N. (2007). The shock doctrine: The rise of disaster capitalism. Metropolitan Books.

Lehmann, S. (2010). Sustainable urban renewal: The role of sustainable architecture in urban environments. Journal of Sustainable Urban Development, 1(1), 21–35.

Lynch, K. (1960). The image of the city. MIT Press.

Marcuse, P. (1986). Abandonment, gentrification, and displacement: The role of public policy in the urban crisis. In R. A. Beauregard (Ed.), The urban experience: Perspectives on urban life (pp. 107–138). Westview Press.

Schill, M. (2005). Urban renewal and economic development: An analysis of urban renewal programs in the United States. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 19(1), 141–164.

Scott, A. J. (2008). Social economy of the metropolis: Cognitive-cultural capitalism and the global city. Oxford University Press.

Sennett, R. (1990). The conscience of the eye: The design and social life of cities. Knopf.

Sharon, R., & Taylor, S. (2015). The role of technology in sustainable urban renewal: Opportunities and challenges. Sustainable Cities and Society, 15, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2014.10.005

Talen, E. (1996). Do the right thing: The role of the community in sustainable urban design. Urban Affairs Review, 31(5), 687–708. https://doi.org/10.1177/107808749603100504

Thompson, W. (2013). Adaptive reuse: The potential of existing buildings in urban renewal. Building and Environment, 59, 34–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2012.08.020

ГОД. 10
БР. 20

ПАЛИМПСЕСТ

PALIMPSEST

VOL. X
NO 20