

Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип

Факултет за туризам и бизнис логистика Goce Delchev University Shtip

Faculty of Tourism and Business Logistics

Трета Меѓународна Научна Конференција Third International Scientific Conference

ПРЕДИЗВИЦИТЕ ВО ТУРИЗМОТ И БИЗНИС ЛОГИСТИКАТА ВО 21 BEK

CHALLENGES OF TOURISM AND BUSINESS LOGISTICS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS



ISCTBL 2020



Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип

Факултет за туризам и бизнис логистика Goce Delcev University Shtip

Faculty of Tourism and Business Logistics

Трета Меѓународна Научна Конференција Third International Scientific Conference

ПРЕДИЗВИЦИТЕ ВО ТУРИЗМОТ И БИЗНИС ЛОГИСТИКАТА ВО 21 ВЕК

CHALLENGES OF TOURISM AND BUSINESS LOGISTICS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

13 ноември 2020 г / November 13, 2020

Издавач:

Факултет за туризам и бизнис логистика Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип Крсте Мисирков, 10-A, 201, 2000, Штип, РС Македонија

Тел: +389 32 550 350 www.ftbl.ugd.edu.mk www.ugd.edu.mk

За издавачот:

д-р Татјана Бошков, декан

Организатор на конференцијата:

Факултет за туризам и бизнис логистика

Publisher:

Faculty of Tourism and Business Logistics Goce Delchev University of Shtip "Krste Misirkov" no.10-A P.O. Box 201 Shtip 2000, North Macedonia Tel: +389 32 550 350 www.ftbl.ugd.edu.mk

www.ugd.edu.mk

For the Publisher:

Tatjana Boshkov, Ph.D. – Dean

Conference Organizator:

Faculty of Tourism and Business Logistics

CIP - Каталогизација во публикација

Национална и универзитетска библиотека "Св. Климент Охридски", Скопје

338.48(497.7)(062)

INTERNATIONAL scientific conference Challenges of tourism and business logistics in the 21st century (3; 2020; Stip)

Challenges of tourism and business logistics in the 21st century [Електронски извор] / The 3th international scientific conference, September 13 th, 2020, Republic of North Macedonia. - Stip: Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of tourism and business logistics, 2020

Начин на пристапување (URL): https://e-lib.ugd.edu.mk/zbornici.html. - Текст во PDF формат, содржи 435 стр., илустр. - Наслов преземен од екранот. - Опис на изворот на ден 22.11.2020. - Фусноти кон текстот. - Библиографија кон трудовите

ISBN 978-608-244-779-7

а) Туризам -- Економски прилики -- Македонија -- Собири

COBISS.MK-ID 52738053

Организациски комитет:

Проф. д-р. Татјана Бошков, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Доц. д-р. Наташа Митева, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Доц. д-р. Душко Јошески, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

м-р Цветанка Ристова Магловска, асистент-докторанд, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Меѓународен програмски комитет:

Проф. д-р. Татјана Бошков, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Доц. д-р. Наташа Митева, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

м-р Цветанка Ристова Магловска, асистент-докторанд, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" — Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија Доц. д-р. Душко Јошески, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" — Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Проф. д-р. Александра Жежова, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Проф. д-р. Зоран Темелков, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Доц. д-р. Анета Стојановска-Стефанова, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

д-р Душица Попова, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

д-р Билјана Цоневска Гуњовска, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Проф. д-р. Марија Магдинчева-Шопова, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Проф. д-р. Тања Ангелкова Петкова, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

Доц. д-р. Оливер Филипоски, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за Туризам и Бизнис логистика, Штип, Република Северна Македонија

д-р Васко Шутаров, МИТ Универзитет, Факултет за безбедност, Република Северна Македонија

Проф. д-р. Глигор Бишев, Универзитет "Св. Климент Охридски" - Битола, Економски факултет Прилеп, Република Северна Македонија

Проф. д-р. Мадалина Теодора Андреи, Универзитет Хиперион, Факултет за општествени, хуманистички науки и природни науки, Оддел за географија, Романија Проф. д-р. Соња Квирога, Универзитет Алкала, Оддел за економија, Шпанија

Проф. д-р. Алиса Флеишер, Хебрејскиот универзитет Јерусалим, Роберт X. Смит, Факултет за земјоделие, Храна и Животна средина, Оддел за економија на животна средина и управување, Израел

Проф. д-р. Ноам Шовал, Хебрејски универзитет Ерусалим, Факултет за општествени науки, Оддел за географија, Израел

Проф. д-р. Нурија Елиса Морере Молинеро, Универзитет Реј Хуан, Шпанија

Проф. д-р. Никола Хурвулиадес, Американ Колеџ Солун, Грција

Проф. д-р. Донила Пипа, Универзитет Марин Барлети, Економски факултет, Албанија

Проф. д-р. Мохамед Фуад, Ариш Универзитет, Факултет за уметност, Египет

Проф. д-р. Френсис Вериза, Универзитет Толиара, Факултет за оппштествени науки, Мадагаскар

Проф. д-р. Октавиан Сербан, Букурешки Универзитет за Економија, Факултет за храна од земјоделие и економија на животната средина, Романија

Проф. д-р. Сабина Георгечи, Асоцијација за промоција на туризмот, Дробета Турму-Северин, Романија

Проф. д-р. Серафима Роскова, Молдовска академија за науки, Академија за економски науки на Молдавија, Република Молдавија

Проф. д-р. Стела Дерменџиева, Универзитет на Велико Трново Свети "Кирил и Методиј", Оддел за Географија, Бугарија

Проф. д-р. Марта Боровска Стефанска, Универзитет во Лоџ, Факултет за Географски науки, Институт за градежна средина и Просторна, Полска

Проф. д-р. Јулиана Поп, Универзитет за економски студии, Факултет за бизнис и туризам, Романиа

Проф. д-р. Елена Тома, Универзитет Хиперион, Факултет за општествени, хуманистички науки и природни науки, Оддел за географија, Романија

Проф. д-р. Ирина Лазар, Универзитет Хиперион, Факултет за општествени, хуманистички науки и природни науки, Оддел за географија, Романија

Проф. д-р. Озгур Јерли, Дужче Универзитет, Факултет за Шумарство, Оддел за пејсажи, Турција

Доц. д-р. Жарко Радјеновиќ, Универзитет во Ниш, Центар за иновации, Србија

Проф. д-р. Драго Цвијановиќ, Универзитет во Крагујевац, Факултет за Хотелски менаџмент и Туризам Врњачка Бања, Србија

Проф. д-р. Дарко Димитровски, Универзитет во Крагујевац, Факултет за Хотелски менаџмент и Туризам Врњачка Бања, Србија

Проф. д-р. Серџо Чипола, Универзитет во Палермо, Силиција, Италија

Доц. д-р. Марија Белиј, Универзитет во Белград, Факултет за географија, Србија

Доц. д-р. Андреј Мичовиќ, Универзитет во Крагујевац, Факултет за Хотелски менаџмент и Туризам Врњачка Бања, Србија

Organizational committee:

Prof. Tatjana Boshkov, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Assist. Prof. Natasa Miteva, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Assist. Prof. Dusko Joseski, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Cvetanka Ristova Maglovska, M.Sc., University Teaching Assistant, Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

International program committee:

Prof. Tatjana Boshkov, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Assist. Prof. Natasa Miteva, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Cvetanka Ristova Maglovska, M.Sc., University Teaching Assistant, Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Assist. Prof. Dusko Joseski, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Prof. Aleksandra Zezova, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Prof. Zoran Temelkov, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Assist. Prof. Aneta Stojanovska - Stefanova, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Dusica Popova, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Biljana Conevska Gunjovska, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Prof. Marija Magdinceva – Sopova, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Prof. Tanja Angelkova Petkova, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Assist. Prof. Oliver Filiposki, Ph.D., Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

Vasko Sutarov, Ph.D., MIT Univeristy, Faculty of Security Sciences, North Macedonia

Prof. Gligor Bishev, Ph.D., St. Clement of Ohrid University of Bitola, Faculty of Economics, Prilep, North Macedonia

Prof. Madalina Teodora Andrei, Ph.D., Hyperion University, Faculty of Social, Humanities and Natural Sciences, Department of Geography, Romania

Prof. Sonia Quiroga, Ph.D., University of Alcalá, Depatment of Economics, Spain

Prof. Aliza Fleischer, Ph.D., The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, The Robert H. Smith Faculty of Agriculture, Food and Environment, Department of Environmental Economics and Management, Israel

Prof. Noam Shoval, Ph.D., The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Faculty of Social Sciences, The Department of Geography, Israel

Prof. Nuria Elisa Morère Molinero, Ph.D., Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Catedrática Historia Antigua, Spain

Prof. Nikolas Hourvouliades, Ph.D., American College of Thessaloniki, Greece

Prof. Donila Pipa, Ph.D., Marin Barleti University, Faculty of Economy, Albania

Prof. Mohamed Fouad, Ph.D., Arish University, Faculty of Arts, Egypt

Prof. Francis Veriza, Ph.D., University of Toliara, Faculty of Lettets and Human Sciences, Madagascar

Prof. Octavian Serban, Ph.D., Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Faculty of Agrifood and Environmental Economics, Romania

Prof. Sabina Gheorgheci, Ph.D., Mehedinți Tourism Promotion Association, Drobeta Turnu-Severin, Romania

Prof. Serafima Roșcovan, Ph.D., Moldova Academy of Science, Academy of Economic Studies of Moldova, Republic of Moldova

Prof. Stella Dermendzhieva, Ph.D., University of Veliko Turnovo St Cyril and St. Methodius, Department of Geography, Bulgaria

Prof. Marta Borowska-Stefanska, Ph.D., University of Lodz Faculty of Geographical Sciences, Institute of the Built Environment and Spatial Policy, Poland

Prof. Iuliana Pop, Ph.D., University of Economic Studies, Faculty of Business and Tourism, Romania

Prof. Elena Toma, Ph.D., Hyperion University, Faculty of Social, Humanities and Natural Sciences, Department of Geography, Romania

Prof. Irina Lazăr, Ph.D., Hyperion University, Faculty of Social, Humanities and Natural Sciences, Department of Geography, Romania

Prof. Ozgur Yerli, Ph.D., Duzce University, Faculty of Forestry, Department of Landscape, Architecture, Turkey

Assist. Prof. Zarko Radjenovic, Ph.D., University of Nis, Innovation Center, Serbia

Prof. Drago Cvijanović, Ph.D., University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjacka Banja, Serbia

Prof. Darko Dimitrovski, Ph.D., University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjacka Banja, Serbia

Prof. Sergio Cipolla, Ph.D., University of Palermo, Italia

Assist. Prof. Marija Belij, Ph.D., University of Belgrade, Faculty of Geography, Serbia

Assist. Prof. Andrej Mićović, Ph.D., University of Kragujevac, Faculty of Hotel Management and Tourism in Vrnjacka Banja, Serbia

Пленарна сесија

Проф. д-р Глигор Бишев, претседател на Управниот одбор и генерален извршен директор на "Шпаркасе Банка Македонија АД Скопје" — "Влијанието на пандемијата врз економските движења"

Питер Табак, извршен директор, водечки регионален економист за економија, политика и управување на Западен Балкан, Потпретседател за политика и партнерства, Европската банка за обнова и развој - "Economic outlook for the Western Balkans during and after the epidemic"

Милан Здравковиќ, извршен директор на операторот на дистрибутивниот систем во Ј.П.Србијагас, Белград, Србија – "Supply of natural gas in COVID-19 conditions - experience and expectations"

Проф. д-р Мишко Џидров, професор и проректор за настава и студенти, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" - Штип – "УГД во време на пандемија"

Проф. д-р Татјана Бошков, професор и декан на Факултетот за туризам и бизнис логистика – "The impact of coronavirus on global growth and global supply chain shifts"

Модератори

Панел модератор

Проф. д-р Татјана Бошков, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за туризам и бизнис логистика

Прва и втора сесија

Доц. д-р Наташа Митева, Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Факултет за туризам и бизнис логистика

Plenary session

Prof. Gligor Bishev, University Professor and Chairman of the Board, Sparkasse Bank-Skopje – "The impact of the pandemic on economic developments"

Peter Tabak, Executive Director, Leading Regional Economist for Economics, Policy and Governance in the Western Balkans Vice-President for Policy and Partnerships, European Bank for Reconstruction and Development - "Economic outlook for the Western Balkans during and after the epidemic"

Milan Zdravkovic, Executive Director of the Distribution System Operator in J.P Srbijagas, Belgrade, Serbia – "Supply of natural gas in COVID-19 conditions - experience and expectations"

Prof. Misko Dzidrov, University Professor and Vice-rector for Teaching and Students, Goce Delcev University of Stip – "UGD in a time of pandemic"

Prof. Tatjana Boskov, University Professor and Dean of the Faculty of Tourism and Business Logistics, Goce Delcev University of Stip – "The impact of coronavirus on global growth and global supply chain shifts"

Moderators

Panel Moderator

Tatjana Boshkov, Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of tourism and business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia

I and II session

Natasha Miteva, Goce Delcev University of Stip, Faculty of tourism and business logistics, Stip, North Macedonia



УНИВЕРЗИТЕТ "ГОЦЕ ДЕЛЧЕВ" - ШТИП GOCE DELCEV UNIVERSITY OF STIP

ФАКУЛТЕТ ЗА ТУРИЗАМ И БИЗНИС ЛОГИСТИКА FACULTY OF TOURISM AND BUSINESS LOGISTICS

ТРЕТА МЕЃУНАРОДНА НАУЧНА THIRD INTERNATIONAL КОНФЕРЕНЦИЈА SCIENTIFIC CONFERENC

SCIENTIFIC CONFERENCE

ПРЕДИЗВИЦИТЕ ВО ТУРИЗМОТ И БИЗНИС ЛОГИСТИКАТА ВО 21 ВЕК

CHALLENGES OF TOURISM AND BUSINESS LOGISTICS IN THE 21ST CENTURY

ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ **CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS**

ПРЕДГОВОР

Факултетот за туризам и бизнис логистика при Универзитетот "Гоце Делчев" во Штип, беше организатор на Третата меѓународна научна конференција "Предизвици на туризмот и бизнис логистика во 21 век". Конференцијата се одржа на 13 ноември 2020 година на платформата Microsoft Teams, со повеќе од 90 учесници од матичната земја и странство. Оваа година Меѓународниот научен комитет брои еминентни професори од нашата земја и над 15 странски земји како Израел, Шпанија, Франција, Молдавија, Италија, Грција, Египет, Полска, Турција, Романија, Бугарија, Србија, Хрватска, Босна и Херцеговина и Словенија.

Целта на оваа конференција е да промовира поголемо разбирање на бизнис администрација, логистиката, туризмот и угостителство во однос на управувањето, економијата, образованието и претприемништвото. Ова подразбира дека фокусот на учесниците го насочивме кон најновите трендови и предизвици во врска со развојот на туризмот, менаџирањето со компаниите, пазарот на труд, можностите за придобивки и профит, изгледите за подобра конкурентност на меѓународниот пазар, што пак е императив за финансиската стабилност на нашата земја во целина.

Имено, со пристигнатите трудови и авторските истражувања се оствари целта на конференцијата - а тоа е оценувањето на тековната состојба и идните изгледи за развој на микро план што се однесува на домашниот пазар, како и движењата на глобалната економија, подготвувајќи ја бизнис заедницата за предизвиците со кои ќе се соочуваат при остварувањето на нивните цели, што де факто влијае врз одржувањето на финансиите особено во времето кое го живееме под притисокот на пандемијата.

Препораките кои се пренесоа се однесуваат како да се зајакнува капацитетот на компаниите како и промените во регулативите кои го диктираат нашиот пат кон ЕУ. Дискусиите во текот на конференцијата беа одговор на тоа како реагираа и се позиционираа менаџерите на финансиски средства во услови на голем пазарен ризик, пазарни шокови и турбуленции и како треба да се подготвуваат инвеститорите во поедини сектори. Трудовите прикажаа изгледи и кон идната интеграција на земјите од Западен Балкан, со осврт на досегашните постигнувања и идните предизвици пред овие економии како и размена на искуства на сите учесници кои сме денес присутни од повеќе земји.

Токму овие препораки треба да бидат двигател на процесите на реформата во насока на подигање на квалитетот на образованието заради поголема продуктивност на трудот, конкурентност на економијата и намалување на неусогласеноста на понудата на вештини и компетенции како и побарувачката на пазарот на труд.

Постигнувањето на овие цели е заедничка задача на сите оние што се во позиција да го дадат својот придонес за имплементација на реформите во општеството. Токму тие реформи, тие промени во начинот на пристап, методологија на работа и организација, не треба да ги правиме само за да станеме дел од економскиот блок, туку да бидат во насока да постигнеме нешто друго, многу позначајно, односно сите напори што ги правиме да бидат за остварување на повисок степен на благосостојбата на нашите граѓани, за создавање на достоинствени услови за живот и работа.

Во регионот, меѓутоа и пошироко, во Европа, искуствата се најразлични. Наидуваме на успешни, и на не толку успешни модели. Токму ваквите научните конференции, дебатите што се развиваат тука, се најдобриот начин за размена на искуства, за идентификација на предизвиците и перспективите, за споредување на моделите и третирањето на нивните недостатоци или предности, меѓутоа и презентирање

Трета Меѓународна Научна Конференција ПРЕДИЗВИЦИТЕ ВО ТУРИЗМОТ И БИЗНИС ЛОГИСТИКАТА ВО 21 ВЕК »ISCTBL 2020«

на можните нови комбинирани модели. Едукацијата на новите генерации кои ќе бидат подготвени да се соочат со предизвиците што ги носи глобализацијата, е заеднички предизвик на Универзитетите, на бизнис заедницата, но и на централната и локалната власт.

Штип, 13 Ноември 2020 Главен уредник Проф. д-р Татјана Бошков, *Декан*

PREFACE

The Faculty of Tourism and Business Logistics in Gevgelia, part of the University Goce Delchev from Shtip, hosted the Third International Scientific Conference, "Challenges of Tourism and Business Logistics in the 21st Century". The conference was held on 13th of November 2020 on the platform Microsoft Teams, with more than 90 participants from the country and abroad. This year the International scientific committee included eminent professors from our country and more than 15 from foreign countries, such as Israel, Spain, France, Moldova, Italy, Greece, Egypt, Poland, Turkey, Romania, Bulgaria, Serbia, Croatia, Bosnia and Herzegovina and Slovenia.

The aim of this conference is promoting a greater understanding of the business administration, logistics, tourism and hospitality in terms of management, economics, education and entrepreneurship. In that context, the focus of our participants was on the latest trends and challenges related to tourism development, company management, labour market, opportunities for gain and profit, opportunities for better competitiveness in the international market, which is imperative for the financial stability of our country entirely.

Furthermore, the conference papers and authors' researches fulfilled the aim of the conference, the assessment of the current situation and future prospects for the development of the micro plan in terms of the domestic market, as well as the global economy changes, prepared the business community for the challenges that will they face during their goal achievement, which de facto affects the maintenance of finances especially in the time we live under the pandemic pressure.

The detection of the conditions that have been presented is a real mirror of where we are, where we have been, and what is even more difficult, to answer the question what next? Achieving these goals is a common task of all those who are in a position to contribute to the implementation of reforms in society. Exactly those reforms, those changes in the way of approach, methodology of work and organization, we should not make only to become part of the economic block, but to be in the direction of achieving something else, something much more significant - efforts for achieving a higher degree of well-being of our citizens, for creating dignified living and working conditions.

In the region, but also beyond, in Europe, the experiences are diverse. We come across successful and not so successful models. Exactly such scientific conferences, debates that develop here, are the best way to exchange experiences, to identify challenges and perspectives, to compare models and how to treat their disadvantages or advantages, but also to present possible new combined models. The education of the new generations that will be ready to face the challenges posed by globalization is a common challenge of the Universities, the business community, but also of the central and local government.

Shtip, November, 13th, 2020

Editor Tatjana Boshkov, Ph.D. *Dean*

Contents

ПРЕДГОВОР	10
PREFACE	12
СЕСИЈА: БИЗНИС ЛОГИСТИКА И БИЗНИС АДМИНИСТРАЦИЈА	17
SESSION: BUSINESS LOGISTICS & BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	17
THE IMPACT OF DIGITAL FINANCE AND FINTECH ON FINANCIAL INCLUSION AND THE EMERGENCE OF DFS PROVIDERS	
Tatjana Boshkov;Dushko Joshevski	. 19
MONETARY POLICY EFFECTIVENESS, AND SOME EXPLANATIONS UNEMPLOYMENT, FAIR WAGES AND FAIR PRICES IN A GENERAL EQUILIBRIUSETTING	JM
Dushko Josheski; Tatjana Boshkov	. 26
LABOR MARKET POLICIES IN BULGARIA DURING THE EMERGENCE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL SITUATION	
Tatyana Dimitrova.; Slavi Dimitrov	. 54
ЧОВЕЧКИОТ КАПИТАЛ – ФАКТОР ЗА ГРАДЕЊЕ КОНКУРЕНТО КОМПАНИСКА ПРЕДНОСТ	
Драшко Атанасоски; Емануела Есмерова	. 64
МЕНАЏМЕНТОТ НА ЗНАЕЊЕ ВО МОДЕРНАТА ЕКОНОМИЈА	72
Емануела Есмерова ; Драшко Атанасоски	. 72
COMPONENTS OF CUSTOMER BASED BRAND EQUITY	79
Ventsislava Nikolova-Minkova	. 79
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN DIGITAL ENVIRONMENT	89
Svetla Panayotova; Ventsislava Nikolova-Minkova	. 89
ВЛИЈАНИЕТО НА СОВРЕМЕНИТЕ ЛОГИСТИЧКИ ТРЕНДОВИ ВО ПОЛЕТО I ЕКОНОМИЈАТА1	
Гордан Јанкуловски	100
DIGITAL MARKETING AND ITS IMPORTANCE DURING THE PANDEMIC 1	11
Natasha Miteva; Dushica Popova; Aco Kuzelov	111
EMPOWERNMENT OF WOMEN: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES IN TO MODERN WORLD	
Aneta Stojanovska-Stefanova; Marija Magdinceva-Sopova; Hristina Runcheva Tasev	119
A MODERN APPROACH TO PROCESS MANAGEMENT WITHIN THE MACEDONIA INSURANCE COMPANY	
Елизабета Митрева; Горан Стојановски	131
THE IMPACT OF PRICE MANAGEMENT ON BUSINESS OPERATION OF THE IMPACT OF THE IMPACT OF THE OPERATION OPE	ΗΕ 45

Snezana Bardarova; Mimoza Serafimova14	5
OVERVIEW OF NEOBANKS MODEL AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR TRADITIONAL BANKING.	
Zoran Temelkov	6
ГОЛЕМАТА РЕЦЕСИЈА 2007-2009 ВО САД160	6
Љупчо Давчев; Александар Давчев16	6
МОНЕТАРНАТА ПОЛИТИКА ВО СЛУЧАЈОТ НА ГОЛЕМАТА РЕЦЕСИЈА 2007-200 ПРЕКУ ПРИМЕРОТ НА ФЕДЕРАЛНИТЕ РЕЗЕРВИ НА САД И ЕВРОПСКАТА ЦЕНТРАЛНА БАНКА175	A
Александар Давчев; Љупчо Давчев	'5
СЕСИЈА: ТУРИЗАМ, УГОСТИТЕЛСТВО И ГАСТРОНОМИЈА184	4
SESSION: TOURISM, HOSPITALITY & GASTRONOMY 184	4
RURAL TOURISM IN VOJVODINA?	5
Drago Cvijanović; Tamara Gajić; Dejan Sekulić18	5
PA-BAT METHODOLOGY IN ESTIMATING ECOSYSTEM SERVICES (Case study: ES Nature based tourism at the Biosphere Reserve "Golija Studenica")	6
АНАЛИЗА НА ИНДИКАТОРИТЕ ЗА РАЗВОЈ НА УРБАНИОТ ТУРИЗАМ НА РЕПУБЛИКА С. МАКЕДОНИЈА НИЗ ПРИЗМАТА НА ПЛАНСКИТЕ РЕГИОНИ 205	A
Дарко Мајхошев, Цане Котески20	15
СОЦИЈАЛЕН ТУРИЗАМ НАСПРОТИ СОЦИЈАЛНИТЕ ФУНКЦИИ НА ТУРИЗМО 218	
Николчо Петров; Златко Јаковлев; Цане Котески21	.8
FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE MEASURING OF A HOTEL COMPANY - CASE STUD	
Marko Milašinović; Aleksandra Mitrović; Stefan Milojević22	28
РАЗГЛЕДНИЦИ ЗА ОХРИД ПЕЧАТЕНИ ВО СОЦИЈАЛИЗМОТ И НИВНАТА УЛОГА ВО ПРОМОЦИЈА НА ТУРИЗМОТ235	
Никола В. Димитров23	5
ВЛИЈАНИЕ НА ПЛАНИНСКИОТ ТУРИЗАМ ВРЗ ТУРИСТИЧКИОТ ПРОМЕТ ВО РЕПУБЛИКА СЕВЕРНА МАКЕДОНИЈА248	
Ана Здравковска-Илиевска, Илија Закоски24	8
КУЛТУРНИ И ВЕРСКИ СОДРЖИНИ ВО ТУРИЗМОТ НА ЛЕШОЧКИОТ МАНАСТИ 250	
Илија Закоски25	6
EUROPEAN CAPITALS OF CULTURE: CASE STUDY – ATHENS 260	6
Marija Lakićević; Danijela Pantović; Vladan Petrović26	6

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF TOURIST ARRIVALS AND NIGHTS IN CROATIA DURING THE SARS-CoV-2 PANDEMIC273
Cvetan Kovač; Ana Šijaković273
PERSPECTIVE AND PROBLEMS OF CYCLING TOURISM IN NORTH CROATIA 284
Nikola Medved; Ana Maria Gavrić; Lea Vukojević284
GASTRO EVENTS, AN IMPORTANT FACTOR FOR PRESERVATION OF CULTURE,
TRADITION AND REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT - CASE STUDY OF ŠUMADIJA DISTRICT
Dragan Tezanovik; Sanja Filipovik; Maja Banjak294
TOURISM IN COVID-19 PANDEMIC IN NORTH MACEDONIA: EXPERIENCES AND PERSPECTIVES
Goran Kitevski; Dejan Iliev305
SUSTAINABLE TOURISM AND UNESCO STATUS BENEFITS: PERCEPTIONS OF RESIDENTS OF OHRID
Biljana Petrevska; Cvetko Andreeski; Tanja Mihalič315
ПРИДОБИВКИ ОД ПРИМЕНАТА НА СТАНДАРДИ ОД ОБЛАСТА НА ТУРИЗМОТ ВО С. МАКЕДОНИЈА
Велибор Тасевски; Џеват Кицара ; Ана М. Лазаревска
ИНТЕРЕС НА СТУДЕНТИТЕ ЗА ВКЛУЧУВАЊЕ ВО АКТИВНОСТИ НА ОТВОРЕНО
Деспина Сивевска; Билјана Попеска; Цветанка Ристова Магловска
МОТИВСКИТЕ ФАКТОРИ НА ТУРИСТИЧКИТЕ ДВИЖЕЊА НА ДОМАШНИТЕ ТУРИСТИ ВО РЕПУБЛИКА СЕВЕРНА МАКЕДОНИЈА
Давид Трајковски
ЕНЕРГЕТСКИ РАЗЛИКИ ПОМЕЃУ РАЗЛИЧНИ ВИДОВИ НА САЛАТИ 356
Дарко Андроников; Мерита Умети Лесковица ; Ацо Кузелов
ОПШТИНА БЕРОВО, АТРАКТИВНА ТУРИСТИЧКА ДЕСТИНАЦИЈА ЗА СПЕЦИФИЧНИ ВИДОВИ ТУРИЗАМ363
Тања Ангелкова Петкова; Владимир Китанов
ИНОВАЦИИТЕ ВО ТУРИЗМОТ И УГОСТИТЕЛСТВОТО
Владимир Китанов, Тања Ангелкова Петкова
КОНЦЕПТУАЛИЗАЦИЈА, МОДЕЛИРАЊЕ И МЕНАЏМЕНТ НА ПРОЦЕСОТ НА
УЧЕЊЕ И ЗНАЕЊЕ ВО ТУРИСТИЧКИТЕ ОРГАНИЗАЦИИ
Бранко Николовски
COMPUTERIZED BOOKING SYSTEMS: ICT READINESS CONTEXT FOR BALKAN COUNTRIES
Žarko Rađenović

Third International Scientific Conference CHALLENGES OF TOURISM AND BUSINESS LOGISTICS IN THE 21ST CENTURY »ISCTBL 2020«

DIGITAL TOURISM	401
Dragan Vojinović[1]; Boris Brezo[2];	401
PREVALLA-BALKAN'S PEARL	411
Naser Bresa ^[1] , Cane Kotecki ^[2] , Zlatko Jakovlev ^[3]	411
КУЛТУРНА ДИПЛОМАТИЈА И КУЛТУРЕН ТУРИЗАМ ЗА ВРЕМЕ НА С ПАНДЕМИЈА	
Васко Шутаров ^[1] Abstract	417
INTERNATIONAL TOURISM AND POLITICS	428
Dimitar Dimitrov	428

УДК 336.713-027.78:316.713-028.27]:336.71:004.031.4 336.71:004.031.4

OVERVIEW OF NEOBANKS MODEL AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR TRADITIONAL BANKING.

Zoran Temelkov¹

Abstract

Traditional banks enjoyed a favourable position in the financial markets for many decades, as the number of threats coming from outside the sector was almost non-existing. Nevertheless, the changing landscape of the banking industry in the post crises period opens up the gates for new entrants to become a significant disruption for the traditional banks. One such disruption comes from neobanks which represent an institution operating under a new type of bank business model.

This new model may be considered as the opposite of the existing banking models since it is a fully online bank while conventional banks rely heavily on the physical presence through a network of branches. Hence, the development of new ways for the creation and delivery of financial products have some major implications for the traditional bank. These implications predominantly can be found in the cost levels and cost structure, products and service delivery, personalization of products and acquisition of new customers.

Keywords: *Neobanks, traditional banking, disruption, fintech, bank business model* JEL classification: *G21, G23.*

Introduction

Traditional banks have been a crucial player in the financial markets for a long time and the number of potential competitors was rather limited because it was difficult for new entrants to enter this industry. However, the last financial crises, along with technological innovations, have removed some of the main barriers and enabled easier access in the industry for baking products and services. Also, a new form of competitors has emerged during the last decade, which pose a serious threat to the traditional banking model and they are considered to be a significant disruption for the overall banking industry.

Neobanks are among the most severe competitors that have appeared during the last decade. This type of banks operates fully online and exclude the need for delivery of financial services through the physical presence and brick-and-mortar branches. Consequently, this new model of financial institutions has some significant implications for conventional banks as it threatens to disrupt their long-standing comfortable positions.

Implications can be found for different areas of traditional banking activities since neobanks rely heavily on technological innovations and fintech in every aspect of its operations. The

-

¹ Zoran Temelkov, PhD, Associate Professor, Faculty of Tourism and Business logistics, zoran.temelkov@ugd.edu.mk

implications for conventional banks show the need for replacement of existing technology, removal of non-value adding costs, modifications of different internal processes and simplification of the existing bureaucratic structure.

Banking terminology associated with fintech developments

The last decade, or the period since the last financial crisis, is characterized with significant innovations which caused changes in the financial markets and the way financial products and services are delivered. The banking industry has experienced significant changes due to the revision of the regulatory framework and the relaxed entry barriers. Banking institutions are also under heavy influence coming from the developments and growth of the fintech industry. Accordingly, a variety of non-banking institutions, the fintech companies, entered the market for financial products and services traditionally provided by the banking sector.

The new type of competitors utilizes the benefits brought by the innovations in financial technology up to a level where they may be more efficient in the delivery of certain services compared to the large and sleepy banking institutions. Consequently, analyzing the implications, coming from what may be considered the ultimate bank competitor, imposes the need for certain terminology to be defined. Accordingly, the developments in the fintech industry had spurred the creation of new types of banks and also assigned different labels to existing banking models. However, confusion may arise in the correct usage of the different terms and they are sometimes used interchangeably even though they may refer to different aspects. Hence, a distinction should be made between the following:

- Challenger banks The term challenger bank is among the most heavily used terms to refer to a specific type of banks in terms of how they affect the existing banking institutions. Accordingly, challenger banks can be defined as a newly created banks or an established institution that aims to enter into direct competition with large, well-established institutions (Carmona, F. A. et al. (2018)). Moreover, the term challenger bank can also be used for institutions offering specialized services to markets which are neglected by the conventional banks.
- Big tech or Tech giants is a term assigned to technology companies such as Google, Apple, Amazon and Facebook that have a significant influence in different areas, including the financial markets. These companies are also considered to be among the major threats which could jeopardize the position of banking institutions.
- Digital banks This bank model may be perceived as the model which announces the arrival of neobanks models based on its characteristics. A digital bank is essentially a traditional bank which moves a step further and makes its products and services available online (Laloux, G., 2015). Digitization of activities and services enables banks to lower their costs and augment the process of service delivery.
- Neobanks represent a financial institution (with or without banking license) offering its services fully online without a network of physical branches. This bank model employs innovative technology to provide personalized services to targeted niches.

The financial markets and the banking industry may be considered to be among the most dynamic industries and areas in the economy. Accordingly, the constant developments mean that there is a need for new emerging terminology to be clearly defined and understood. For

instance, what was once known as a major innovation and the latest business model, is now labelled as a traditional model or a digital model. Also, it may be noted that a large portion of the newly created terminology comes from the developments in the fintech industry and the way this industry affects the sale and delivery of financial products and services.

Evolution of neobanks

Technologically advanced and fintech based banks and financial institutions had gained popularity during the last decade when drastic changes in the regulatory frameworks were initiated under pressure from the last financial crises (Alvaro, M. et al., 2016). However, the digitalization of bank activities, in one form or another, has started a couple of decades ago. Consequently, it can be said that, to some extent, neobanks are a modern version of traditional banks as they offer financial products and services through the application of fintech and other innovative technology. Noteworthy mentioning is that these types of banks have begun their operations by offering simple and basic products such as current accounts or debit cards (Gabriel Hopkinson et al. (2019). Afterwards, they have managed to broaden their range of products in a relatively short period of time. Table 1 provides a brief overview of the developments in direct banking models that took place during the last 30 years.

Table 1: A short history of direct banking models

1990	_2000	2010	Today
First generation direct banks	Second generat banks		Neobanks
 Starting point in the 90's peak before the dotcor bubble Call Center as pivotal point of the business Model In most cases affiliated with one of the incumbent banks No long-term economissuccess 	alternative to to banks • Strong growth 2000s – reaching through acquising ING DiBa) or (e.g. DKB) • Focus on Online	in the ing scale itions (e.g. organically e orocess st of direct g: ialist, nes, or	Five common identifiers for Neobanks: • Disrupting a specific segment, product or process • Extreme focus on customer experience and "journeys" • Smartphone as primary distribution and communication channel • Based on new, flexible IT-architecture – no "Legacy" • API-Native and Open Banking oriented

Source: Exton definition, presented in - Lance Daniels and Christoph Stegmeier (2018) Facing the arrival of the new wave of digital banks: The Neobank, Inside Financial Services – The Neobanks, Exton Consulting – Strategy and management

At the time of its introduction, the call centre model has been considered a significant step in the augmentation of the direct bank model from 1990 to 2000. The second generation of direct

banking post-2000 aimed at online and process automation with the ultimate goal of cost reduction. Neobanks developments took place during the decade when it took the form of a fully online bank. Its business model is significantly more advanced compared to the previous two generations as it is focused on a specific niche, customer experience and product customization delivered through innovative technology.

Neobanks business model defined

Even though there might be a lack of generally accepted definition of neobanks, this type of institution could be defined as a financial institution which offers its products and services fully online excluding the need for physical branches which is a distinctive characteristic of traditional banks. Neobanks may also be defined as an institution offering financial services with the application of apps with the aim to serve specific niches in a more efficient manner (Bradford, T., 2020). Furthermore, because neobanks are fully online institutions, they are focused on developing their own IT infrastructure and the associated technology through cloudbased operating systems (Gabriel Hopkinson et al., 2019). However, Bradford, T. (2020) states that neobanks should not be considered to be a full bank institution per se because not all of them are a chartered financial institution. Alternatively, neobanks may operate through a partnership with a licensed bank or fintech institution or it may obtain a license from the relevant authority when it fulfils the regulatory requirements (Ahishek, K. and Mishra, V., 2019). Hence, in essence, they can operate under either of the two basic models which impose a different way of conducting the activities. The primary difference between the two models is in the bank license with which they conduct their operations. Accordingly, a distinction can be made between full-stack neobanks and front-end focused neobanks. The basic characteristics of these two fundamental neobanks models are presented in table 2.

Table 2: Overview of full-stack and front-end Neobanks

Full-stack Neo Banks	Features	
Built on Platform modelHave a banking license	Asset-light platform	1
Control most of the value chain from front-end to back-end	N26; Starling Banks;	Monzo
Use a lean/asset-light approachHave their own/proprietary CBS	Full Services (in-ho	use)
Leverage unsoiled data to gain customerinsights/offer personalized	Atom bank; Tandem	
services	_	
Front-end Focused Neo Banks	Features	
 Do not have a banking license 	B2C	B2N
Partner with a larger/established bank	Targets young people	SMB Focus
 CBS/tech systems are off-the-shelf or sourced externally 	Osper; Loot	Qonto; Revolut
Control only front-end of the value chain (customer interface)	Basic Banking Services	Solo Entrepreneur
 Support B2C and B2B apps Target niche segments (young millennials, SMBs, Entrepreneurs) 	Revolut; Compte Nickel; Monese	Holvi; N26; Kontist

Source: Finnovate, 2018: Neo-Banks: Performance and New Ideas, Finnovate Research – Ideas for financial innovation, October

It is evident that the full-stack neobanks have a bank license and could offer their products and services without the need for partnership with a traditional bank. Also, this type of neobanks has almost full control of the value-chain. On the other hand, the front-end neobanks cannot operate independently and they need to establish cooperation with an existing bank with a valid bank license.

Furthermore, in terms of the products offered, neobanks may be focused on providing a specific product or a group of related products. Through the offerings of specialized products, neobanks attempt to satisfy the need of a particular market which is commonly underserved by the conventional banking sector. Also, their products may be developed with the aim to offer financial products to the underbanked populations.

Diogo Silva and Peter Ward (2016) argue that neobanks may take three basic approaches when developing their product lines in the process of attracting new customers. These approaches are the savings-led, credit-led and account-led approach. As the name implies, products developed within the first approach are intended to acquire a higher number of savers looking for higher yield. The credit-led approach serves the purpose to attract new customers in need of a specific credit product. The last, or account-led approach offers enhanced app experienced that support better management of finances.

Regardless of the product offered, neobanks business model is oriented toward improvements of the traditional services and adaptation to the changing environment through innovations. According to Gabriel Hopkinson et al. (2019), neobanks business model stimulated innovation in areas such as:

- Customer experience since they offer a high degree of personalization and have well-established customer support infrastructure. Also, neobanks enable the customer to swiftly open a bank account or use specific types of services at a lower cost compared to the cost when using traditional banking institutions.
- Features and money management tools the neobanks apps help its customers to better understand their personal finances by providing different types of notifications and details related to the way money are spend or saved.
- Agility and low-cost structure as a fully online bank, neobanks have better operating efficiency compared to brick-and-mortar banks. Consequently, they may offer lower fees or remove fees charged by conventional banks. Neobanks are based on technology which means that they can swiftly adapt to potential changes in customer preferences or market innovations.
- Transparency neobanks are focused on providing as much information as possible to the public and their users. Neobanks activities and operations are presented through a variety of financial reports, documents, blogs and other types of communications.

Since neobanks operations are centred around the utilization of financial technology and because it is a fully online institution, its business models have a low-cost structure while offering features rich products and services (Finnovate, 2018). Consequently, these business models disrupted the long-standing model based on the delivery of financial products through a network of physical branches. Accordingly, the widespread acceptance and increased popularity of the new, technologically advanced models come from the benefits it brings for its customers as well as shareholders.

Fundamental advantages of neobanks

Neobanks are classified as fintech based bank because its business model is developed through the application of specific financial technology. Consequently, the utilization of technological innovations and the elimination of brick-and-mortar branches bring a variety of advantages for this business model.

The most distinguished advantages of neobanks come in the form of simple structure, low operation costs, the ability to charge lower fees and offer higher rates, swift product creation, leveraging technology, lower risk aversion and superior user experience (FinTech Futures, 2019; Alvaro, M. et al., 2016).

Needless to say, is that the most obvious advantages of low operating costs come from the elimination of a network of physical branches as neobanks offer their products and services fully online. It is stated that certain operational costs of neobanks compared to the costs of conventional banks may be lower by 40% - 70% (FinTech Futures, 2019). Moreover, the simple structure and the utilization of advanced IT solutions additionally supports the low costs structures since potential changes in processes, products or operations are implemented much faster compared to the traditional banks.

Having lower cost structure means that neobanks are able to charge lower fees or even remove certain fees while offering interest rates which are usually higher than those offered by most traditional banks. Moreover, neobanks are also able to offer a higher degree of personalization in their products and services, unlike mainstream banks which tend to have a bureaucratic structure which complicates the product development. Superior customer experience is another advantage and it comes from the possibility of neobanks to provide financial services in a much faster and in a more user-friendly manner which is rather hard or even impossible to be offered by traditional banks.

Ahishek, K. and Mishra, V. (2019) add that another advantage of a neobank is its ability to integrate modified or new business processes and products in their current platform in a faster and efficient manner where the ultimate benefit is utilized by the customers.

Neobanks influence of traditional banking institutions

Going through the neobanks business model and the advantages of this new type of banks show why neobanks are considered to be a major threat for traditional banks and why they gradually become one of the most serious competitors. Hence, the development of these fintech based banks has certain implications for the industry historically dominated by the conventional banking business model.

First, it can be said that traditional banks are faced with low flexibility and a high degree of rigidity when it comes to the brick-and-mortar model of providing financial services. This is in a sense that the process of attracting new and retaining existing customers is much harder and incurs higher costs compared to the neobanks (Clara Grillet and Louise Pacaud, 2020). Neobanks has shown that nothing can beat the fintech innovations when it comes to selling and delivery of financial products and services and satisfying the financial needs of customers.

Since neobanks are serving specific segments of customers usually served by traditional banks, it is implied that banks should find a way to increase their flexibility.

Therefore, if wondering when is the right time for banks to start adapting to the new changes, it could be said that the right time is yesterday considering the speed at which new competitors such as neobanks change the market for financial products and the speed at which they attract new customers (Skan, J. et al., 2018). Noteworthy mentioning is that the danger doesn't come only from neobanks and other fintech companies but also from players who are not part of the banking industry such as the big internet platforms and the big tech companies.

Examining the implications coming from neobanks means that banks should understand which institution is the real threat. While neobanks are quoted as the major danger, it should be noted that not all neobanks pose an equal threat to conventional banks. A better understanding of the real threat means that a distinction should be made regarding the effect coming from the two basic types of neobanks, i.e. full-stack neobanks and front-end focused Neobanks (Finnovate, 2018).

Consequently, while full-stack neobanks may have negative implications, front-end focus neobanks may affect the traditional banking industry in a positive manner. The need for front-end neobanks to partner with an established bank means that they can be considered to be an opportunity for banks to expand their activities and offer specific products to targeted niches. Ultimately, this might have a positive effect on the bottom line as well as the customer base.

On the other hand, full-stack neobanks are considered to be a danger for the traditional banking institutions because they operate under own bank license and they have control over most activities related to the development and delivery of financial products and services.

It is expected that neobanks developments have significant implications for traditional banking because they affect multiple areas of the business operations and activities. Consequently, the affected areas, along with recommended actions to be taken, are presented in table 3.

Table 3: Areas of conventional banks under the influence of Neobanks

Traditional banking	Brief explanation	Suggested actions
Operational costs	The high cost of maintaining a	Reduce the number of
	network of branches	branches or increase
		branch efficiency
Fee structure	High fees for non-value adding	Increase operating
	activities due to the high	efficiency through
	operational costs. Fees may	improvements in
	have a negative effect on	business processes and
	customer satisfaction,	the application of
	especially because Neobanks	advanced IT solutions.
	charge lower fees.	
Technology	Higher maintenance costs and	Although costly,
	outdated internal systems	switching to new
		technology may have a
		significant positive
		impact on multiple
		business processes.

	T	
Availability of services	In general, services are	Enable 24 hours of
	available during bank working	access to most services
	hours. Outside of working	through the application
	hours, customers have access	of new technology while
	to limited services.	maintaining low costs.
Products and service	Inflexible and stiff products	Remove the bureaucratic
development and modification	and services as offering	decision-making
	customized products are	processes and increase
	almost non-existing.	the responsibilities and
		flexibility of branch
		employees.
Number of products and	Offering a large variety of	Traditional banks may
services and markets served	products my impede the	want to primarily gain a
	competitive advantage	competitive advantage in
		serving specific
		segments or package of
		products. Other products
		may also be offered after
		reaching adequate
		market share in the
		served niche.
Processing time	Outdated technology and the	Utilization of adequate
	need for physical presence	technology and the
	(which may form queues)	elimination of
	limits the speed at which	unnecessary steps in the
	products are delivered to the	business processes
	end-user.	
Delivery of services (level of	High level of paperwork	Reduce the number of
complexity to use products	complicates the delivery and	steps in the delivery of
and services)	usage of bank products which	different products and
	creates dissatisfaction.	services.
Utilization of collected data	Traditional banks lag behind to	Employment of
	grasp the benefits of collected	technology which will
	data to understand their	augment the analysis of
	customer's needs better.	existing data. AI
		technology may be used
		for the analysis.
Source: Compiled by the author		· •

Source: Compiled by the author

Aside of the aforementioned implications of Neobanks on traditional banks, the new bank models may also create a strategic and profitability risks along with disturbances in the liquidity levels and the level of funding sources (BCBS, 2017). Since neobanks gain popularity, especially among millennials, they have the potential to attract a higher number of savers which usually held their deposits and savings with traditional banks. Consequently, the banks may lose a portion of this type of funds used to finance their lending activities further.

It can be freely said that neobanks become a serious threat for the traditional banking industry and it affects traditional banks in numerous ways. The major drawback is that neobanks doesn't affect only a couple of areas of the bank operations. Instead, they affect the majority of

processes for the creation and delivery of products and services as it can be seen from the table. Consequently, banks need to pay attention to this threat and initiate adequate actions which will ensure that they will not lag.

However, it should be noted that the effects from these implications are not severe since neobanks need to grow even further before they can become a serious danger for conventional banks. Nevertheless, banks should not take this new type of competitors for granted, as they have the potential to grow substantially.

Conclusion

Banks have been conducting their activities on the basis of the traditional bank business models for many decades without any major threat. However, the actions initiated with the last financial crises have jeopardized the commodity of these models in a way that they impose the need for conventional banks to modify their models if they want to remain competitive. Also, the fintech developments have opened up a playground for the development and creation of new, modern, business models, which utilize innovative technology and improve the processes of traditional banks. Consequently, one of the major disruptions for the banking industry comes from the neobanks which sell and deliver the financial services fully online.

Accordingly, traditional banks should not ignore the implications which are brought by the neobanks, since this new type of business model has some significant advantages compared to the conventional banks. Hence, couple of primary implications which have been identified are in the areas of types of costs, operating efficiency, fees structure, the flexibility in the personalization of products and services, degree of customization of products, ability to utilize gathered data, the speed of adaption of new technology, the complexity of organizational structure, etc.

The implications coming from the developments of neobanks show that conventional banks should initiate the process of modifying and adapting their existing operations if they want to remain competitive in the market for delivery of financial products and services. There are multiple approaches which can be used by the traditional banks in their efforts to suppress the effects coming from neobanks and the fintech industry. Of course, the selection of an adequate approach depends on a variety of internal and external factors.

References

- 1. Alvaro, M. et al., (2016) *Neobanks: creating a digital bank from scratch*, Digital Economy Outlook, Digital Regulation Unit BBVA Research.
- 2. Ahishek, K. and Mishra, V. (2019) *Neobanks: A global deep dive*, Medici FinTech Research and Innovation Platform.
- 3. BCBS (2017) Sound Practices: Implications of fintech developments for banks and bank supervisors, Bank of International Settlements, Consultative Document.
- 4. Bradford, T. (2020) *Neobanks: Banks by Any Other Name?*, Payments system research briefing, Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.
- 5. Carmona, F. A. et al. (2018) *Competition issues in the Area of Financial Technology* (*FinTech*), European Parliament, Policy Department A: Economic and Scientific Policy, IP/A/ECON/2017-20, PE 619.027.
- 6. Grillet, C. and Pacaud, L. (2020) *New trends on the market: Are neobanks the future of B2B banking*?, Initio Square Group,

- 7. Diogo Silva and Peter Ward (2016) *Neobanks*" *Who Will Win*?, LEK. Consulting / Executive Insights, Volume XVIII, Issue 28.
- 8. Finnovate, (2018) *Neo-Banks: Performance and New Ideas*, Finnovate Research Ideas for financial innovation, October.
- 9. FinTech Futures (2019) Beyond banking: What traditional banks and Neobanks can learn from each other?, W.UP
- 10. Gabriel Hopkinson et al. (2019) *How neobanks' business models challenge traditional banks*, Young Graduate News, International Business Centre at Aalborg University.
- 11. Laloux, G., (2015) *Are Neobanks poised to stay in financial landscape*?, Initio Brussels. Available at: https://www.initio.eu/blog/2018/10/25/are-neobanks-poised-to-stay-infinancial-landscape
- 12. Lance Daniels and Christoph Stegmeier (2018) Facing the arrival of the new wave of digital banks: The Neobank, Inside Financial Services The Neobanks, Exton Consulting Strategy and management
- 13. Skan, J. et al., (2018) Star shifting: rapid evolution required Banks can grow by accelerating their move to digital, Winning in the digital economy series, Accenture.