



Воронежский государственный университет Университет имени Гоце Делчева, г. Штип Россия Македония

Воронешки државен универзитет Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип, Русија Македонија

Voronezh State University Goce Delcev University in Stip Russia Macedonia

Вторая международная научная конференция Втора меѓународна научна конференција

Second International Scientific Conference

ФИЛКО FILKO

ФИЛОЛОГИЯ, КУЛЬТУРА И ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ ФИЛОЛОГИЈА, КУЛТУРА И ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ PHILOLOGY, CULTURE AND EDUCATION

СБОРНИК СТАТЕЙ ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

10-12 мај 2017 / 10-12 мая 2017 / 10-12 Мау 2017

Воронеж -

Воронеж

Voronezh





Воронежский государственный университет Университет имени Гоце Делчева, г. Штип

Россия Македония

Воронешки државен универзитет Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" - Штип,

Русија Македонија

Voronezh State University Goce Delcev University in Stip

Russia Macedonia

Вторая международная научная конференция Втора меѓународна научна конференција

Second International Scientific Conference

ФИЛКО FILKO

ФИЛОЛОГИЯ, КУЛЬТУРА И ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ ФИЛОЛОГИЈА, КУЛТУРА И ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ PHILOLOGY, CULTURE AND EDUCATION

СБОРНИК СТАТЕЙ ЗБОРНИК НА ТРУДОВИ CONFERENCE PROCEEDINGS

10-12 мај 2017 / 10-12 мая 2017 / 10-12 May 2017

Воронеж Воронеж Voronezh

ОРГАНИЗАЦИСКИ КОМИТЕТ

Ољга А. Бердникова, Филолошки факултет при ВГУ Драгана Кузмановска, Филолошки факултет при УГД Татјана А. Тернова, Филолошки факултет при ВГУ Светлана Јакимовска, Филолошки факултет при УГД Генадиј Ф. Коваљов, Филолошки факултет при ВГУ Виолета Димова, Филолошки факултет при УГД Лариса В. Рибачева, Филолошки факултет при ВГУ Костадин Голаков, Филолошки факултет при УГД

МЕЃУНАРОДЕН ПРОГРАМСКИ КОМИТЕТ

Софија Заболотнаја (Русија)

Лариса В. Рибачева (Русија)

Татјана А. Тернова (Русија)

Виолета Димова (Македонија)

Марија Кусевска (Македонија)

Ева Ѓорѓиевска (Македонија)

Даниела Коцева (Македонија)

Силвана Симоска (Македонија)

Татјана Атанасоска (Австрија)

Олег Н. Фенчук (Белорусија)

Јулиа Дончева (Бугарија)

Гергана Пенчева-Апостолова (Бугарија)

Билјана Мариќ (Босна и Херцеговина)

Душко Певуља (Босна и Херцеговина)

Волфганг Моч (Германија)

Габриела Б. Клајн (Италија)

Ева Бартос (Полска)

Даниела Костадиновиќ (Србија)

Тамара Валчиќ-Булиќ (Србија)

Селена Станковиќ (Србија)

Ахмед Ѓуншен (Турција)

Зеки Ѓурел (Турција)

Неџати Демир (Турција)

Карин Руке-Брутен (Франција)

Танван Тонтат (Франција)

Реа Лујиќ (Хрватска)

гса лујик (Арватска)

Технички секретар

Софија Заболотнаја

Татјана Уланска

Главен и одговорен уредник

Драгана Кузмановска

Јазично уредување

Софија Заболотнаја (руски јазик)

Даница Атанасовска-Гаврилова (македонски јазик)

Снежана Кирова (англиски јазик)

Техничко уредување

Костадин Голаков Ирина Аржанова Славе Димитров

Адреса на организацискиот комитет:

Воронешки државен универзитет Филолошки факултет г. Воронеж, пл. Ленина, 10, корпус 2, к. 34, Русија

Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" – Штип Филолошки факултет ул. "Крсте Мисирков" бр. 10-А Пош. фах 201, Штип - 2000, Р. Македонија

E-пошта: filko.conference@gmail.com Веб-страница: http://js.ugd.edu.mk./index.php/fe

CIP - Каталогизација во публикација Национална и универзитетска библиотека "Св. Климент Охридски", Скопје

81(062) 82(062) 316.7(062)

МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ научная конференция (2; Воронеж; 2017)

Сборник статей / Вторая международная научная конференция, Воронеж, 10-12 мая 2017 = Зборник на трудови / Втора меѓународна научна конференција, Воронеж, 10-12 мај 2017 = Conference proceedings / Second International Scientific Conference, Voronezh, 10-12 May 2017. - Штип: Университет имени Гоце Делчева = Универзитет "Гоце Делчев" = Shtip: Goce Delcev University, 2017. - 642 стр.: табели: 25 см

Трудови на рус., мак. и англ. јазик. - Фусноти кон текстот. - Библиографија кон трудовите

ISBN 978-608-244-469-7

а) Јазик - Собири б) Книжевност - Собири в) Културологија - Собири COBISS.MK-ID 105484554

РЕДАКЦИОННЫЙ СОВЕТ

Ољга А. Бердникова, Филологический факультет при ВГУ Драгана Кузмановска, Филологический факультет при УГД Татьяна А. Тернова, Филологический факультет при ВГУ Светлана Якимовска, Филологический факультет при УГД Геннадий Ф. Ковалев, Филологический факультет при ВГУ Виолета Димова, Филологический факультет при УГД Лариса В. Рыбачева, Филологический факультет при ВГУ Костадин Голаков, Филологический факультет при УГД

МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

Софья Заболотная (Россия)

Лариса В. Рыбачева (Россия)

Татьяна А. Тернова (Россия)

Виолета Димова (Македония)

Мария Кусевска (Македония)

Ева Гёргиевска (Македония)

Даниела Коцева (Македония)

Силвана Симоска (Македония)

Татяна Атанасоска (Австрия)

Олег Н. Фенчук (Беларусь)

Юлиа Дончева (Болгария)

Гергана Пенчева-Апостолова (Болгария)

Биляна Марич (Босния и Херцеговина)

Душко Певуля (Босния и Херцеговина)

Волфганг Моч (Германия)

Габриелла Б. Клейн (Италия)

Ева Бартос (Польша)

Даниела Костадинович (Сербия)

Тамара Валчич-Булич (Сербия)

Селена Станкович (Сербия)

Ахмед Гюншен (Турция)

Зеки Гюрел (Турция)

Неджати Демир (Турция)

Карин Рукэ-Брутэн (Франция)

Танван Тонтат (Франция)

D II v (37

Реа Луйич (Хорватия)

Ученый секретарь

Софья Заболотная

Татяна Уланска

Главный редактор

Драгана Кузмановска

Языковая редакция

Даница Атанасовска-Гаврилова (македонский язык) Софья Заболотная (русский язык)

Снежана Кирова (английский язык)

Техническое редактирование

Костадин Голаков Ирина Аржанова Славе Димитров

Адрес организационного комитета

Воронежский государственный университет Филологический факультет г. Воронеж, пл. Ленина, 10, корпус 2, к. 34, Россия Университет им. Гоце Делчева – Штип Филологический факультет ул. "Крсте Мисирков" д. 10-А Пош. фах 201, Штип - 2000, Р. Македония

Э-почта: filko.conference@gmail.com Веб-сайт: http://js.ugd.edu.mk./index.php/fe

EDITORIAL STAFF

Olga A. Berdnikova, Faculty of Philology, VGU
Dragana Kuzmanovska, Faculty of Philology, UGD
Tatyana A. Ternova, Faculty of Philology, VGU
Svetlana Jakimovska, Faculty of Philology, UGD
Genadiy F. Kovalyov, Faculty of Philology, VGU
Violeta Dimova, Faculty of Philology, UGD
Larisa V. Rybatcheva, Faculty of Philology, VGU
Kostadin Golakov, Faculty of Philology, UGD

INTERNATIONAL EDITORIAL BOARD

Sofya Zabolotnaya (Russia)

Larisa V. Rybatcheva (Russia)

Tatyana A. Ternova (Russia)

Violeta Dimova (Macedonia)

Marija Kusevska (Macedonia)

Eva Gjorgjievska (Macedonia)

Daniela Koceva (Macedonia)

Silvana Simoska (Macedonia)

Tatjana Atanasoska (Austria)

Oleg N. Fenchuk (Belarus)

Yulia Doncheva (Bulgaria)

Gergana Pencheva-Apostolova (Bulgaria)

Biljana Maric (Bosnia and Herzegovina)

Dushko Pevulja (Bosnia and Herzegovina)

Wolfgang Motch (Germany)

Gabriella B. Klein (Italy)

Ewa Bartos (Poland)

Danijela Kostadinovic (Serbia)

Tamara Valchic-Bulic (Sebia)

Selena Stankovic (Serbia)

Necati Demir (Turkey)

Zeki Gurel (Turkey)

Ahmed Gunshen (Turkey)

Karine Rouquet-Brutin (France)

That Thanh-Vân Ton (France)

Rea Lujic (Croatia)

Conference secretary

Sofya Zabolotnaya

Tatjana Ulanska

Editor in Chief

Dragana Kuzmanovska

Language editor

Sofya Zabolotnaya (Russian) Danica Atanasovska-Gavrilova (Macedonian)

Snezana Kirova (English)

Technical editing

Kostadin Golakov Irina Arzhanova Slave Dimitrov

Address of the Organizational Committee

Voronezh State Universiy
Faculty of Philology
10 pl. Lenina, Voronezh, 394006, Russia
Goce Delcev University - Stip
Faulty of Philology
Krste Misirkov St. 10-A
PO Box 201, Stip - 2000, Republic of Macedonia

E-mail: filko.conference@gmail.com Web-site: http://js.ugd.edu.mk./index.php/fe

СОДРЖИНА / СОДЕРЖАНИЕ / CONTENT

1.	Ануфрієв Олег – КУЛЬТУРНА ІДЕНТИФІКАЦІЯ НЕКРАСОВЦІВ-	
	СТАРОВІРІЦ В ПОНИЗЗІ УКРАЇНСЬКОГО ДУНАЮ:ІСТОРІЯ ТА	
	СТАН ЗБЕРЕЖЕННЯ В СУЧАСНИХ УМОВАХ ПОЛІЕТНІЧНОСТІ	
	(НА МАТЕРІАЛИ ПОЛЬОВИХ ДОСЛІДЖЕНЬ)	.11
2.	Асимопулос Панайиотис - РЫБНЫЕ ФРАЗЕОЛОГИЗМЫ В	
	СОВРЕМЕННОМ ГРЕЧЕСКОМ, РУССКОМ И СЕРБСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ	.19
3.	Балек Тијана - КОМПАРАТИВНА АНАЛИЗА ТЕМПЕРАТУРНИХ	
	ПРИДЕВА У САВРЕМЕНОМ СРПСКОМ И РУСКОМ ЈЕЗИКУ	.27
4.	Беляева Наталья - ОБРАЗ ДОМА В ТВОРЧЕСТВЕ В. ВЫСОЦКОГО	
_	И Ю. ШЕВЧУКА: СРАВНИТЕЛЬНЫЙ АСПЕКТ	.37
5.	Бердникова Ольга Анатольевна – ФИЛОЛОГИЧЕСКОЕ	
	ОБРАЗОВАНИЕ В СИСТЕМЕ ГУМАНИТАРНЫХ НАУК	.45
6.	Бестолков Дмитрий Александрович – УЧАСТНИК РЖЕВСКОЙ БИТВЫ.	
	ЛИРИЧЕСКИЙ ГЕРОЙ АЛЕКСАНДРА ТВАРДОВСКОГО В КОНТЕКСТЕ	
_	РУССКОЙ И БЕЛОРУССКОЙ ПОЭТИЧЕСКОЙ ТРАДИЦИИ	.55
7.	Гладышева Светлана - СУДЬБА РОССИИ И ЕВРОПЫ В ПУБЛИЦИСТИКЕ	
_	Д. С. МЕРЕЖКОВСКОГО ПЕРИОДА ЭМИГРАЦИИ	.63
8.	Гончарова Алина - ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКИЕ АСПЕКТЫ МЕТОДИКИ	
	«ТИХОГО ОБУЧЕНИЯ» ИНОСТРАННЫМ ЯЗЫКАМ КЕЙЛЕБА	60
0	ГАТТЕНЬО	.69
9.	Гоцко Алла Николаевна - СОЦИАЛЬНЫЕ И ПСИХОЛОГО-	
	ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИЕ ПРЕДПОСЫЛКИ РЕЧЕВОЙ ПОДГОТОВКИ	70
10	УЧИТЕЛЯ- ФИЛОЛОГА	. 19
10.	Гузенина Светлана - СЛАВЯНСКИЕ ДУХОВНЫЕ ПАРАЛЛЕЛИ	0.5
11	В КУЛЬТУРЕ РОССИИ И МАКЕДОНИИ	.83
11.	SPECIALIZED TRANSLATIONS	0.1
12	Демченко Зинаида - КУЛЬТУРНО-ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНАЯ СРЕДА И	.91
12.	ОРГАНИЗАЦИОННО-ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКИЕ УСЛОВИЯ ФОРМИРОВАНИЯ	
	У СТУДЕНТОВ КОЛЛЕДЖА ЦЕННОСТНОГО ОТНОШЕНИЯ К УЧЕБНО-	
	исследовательской деятельности	101
13	Денкова Јованка - НАУЧНАТА ФАНТАСТИКА НА ИВАН ЕФРЕМОВ	
	Димова Виолета - ЕСТЕТИКАТА НА КОМУНИКАЦИЈАТА И	. 1 1 1
17.	МЕДИУМИТЕ ВО НАСТАВАТА ПО ЛИТЕРАТУРА	121
15	Ѓорѓиева Димова Марија - ЗА АТРАКТИВНОСТА НА ЕДЕН	. 121
10.	ЖАНР (КНИЖЕВНИТЕ, КНИЖЕВНО-ТЕОРИСКИТЕ И	
	КНИЖЕВНО-ИСТОРИСКИТЕ ИМПЛИКАЦИИ НА	
	ИСТОРИОГРАФСКАТА МЕТАФИКЦИЈА)	129
16	Ѓорѓиоска Жаклина - ЦРКОВНОСЛОВЕНИЗМИТЕ ВО	,
	ТВОРЕЧКАТА РИЗНИЦА НА АНТЕ ПОПОВСКИ	139
17.	Ефременкова Татьяна Николаевна - ФОРМИРОВАНИЕ СОЦИАЛЬНО	
• •	УСПЕШНОЙ ЛИЧНОСТИ, ЧЕРЕЗ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЮ ВНЕУРОЧНОЙ	
	ДЕЯТЕЛЬНОСТИ ПО РУССКОМУ ЯЗЫКУ	149
18.	Жосу Зоя - ПАМЯТЬ КУЛЬТУРЫ В РОМАНЕ ГЕРМАНА БРОХА	
	«НЕВИНОВНЫЕ»	153

19.	Зайналова Лариса – СОПОСТАВИТЕЛЬНАЯ ХАРАКТЕРИСТИКА	
	СИНТАКСИЧЕСКИХ КОНСТРУКЦИЙ КАК ОСНОВА	
	ПРОГНОЗИРОВАНИЯ ИНТЕРФЕРЕНЦИИ	157
20.	Зуева Надзея - ІДЭЙНЫ БАЗІС НАРОДНАГА БЫЦЦЯ Ў МАЛОЙ	
	ПРОЗЕ БЕЛАРУСКАГА ПІСЬМЕННІКА ЛУКАША КАЛЮГІ	
21.	Иванова Биљана, Кирова Снежана, Кузмановска Драгана - ВЛИЈАНИЕ Н	Α
	ВЕШТИНИТЕ ЗА ПИШУВАЊЕ И ЗБОРУВАЊЕ НА КОМУНИКАЦИЈАТА	
	НА СТУДЕНТИТЕ НА АНГЛИСКИ И ГЕРМАНСКИ ЈАЗИК	173
22.	Ивановска Билјана, Кусевска Марија - ПРАГМАТИКА НАСПРОТИ	
	ГРАМАТИКА НАСПРОТИ СЕМАНТИКА	185
23.	Iskrev Dimitar - THE MENTOR AS KEY FIGURE IN	
	WORKPLACE TRAINING	193
24.	Јакимовска Светлана - ТРАНСФЕРОТ НА КУЛТУРНИТЕ ЕЛЕМЕНТИ ПРИ	
	ПРЕПЕВОТ НА СТИХОЗБИРКАТА БЕЛИ МУГРИ ОД КОЧО РАЦИН	
	Jankova Natka - INTERCULTURAL COMMUNICATION IN ELT	
26.	Jovanov Jane - POLYCONTEXTUAL LINGUACULTURAL COMPETENCE	219
27.	Југрева Марија - ГРОТЕСКАТА ВО РАСКАЗОТ "МЕТАМОРФОЗА"	
	НА ФРАНЦ КАФКА	227
28.	Караниколова-Чочоровска Луси - МЕНТАЛИТЕТОТ ВО КУСАТА ПРОЗА	
	НА ЧЕХОВ (ЗА ЖЕНСКИОТ, ЧИНОВНИЧКИОТ И НАРОДСКИОТ	
	МЕНТАЛИТЕТ ВО КУСАТА ПРОЗА НА АНТОН ЧЕХОВ)	233
29.	Корноголуб Е.В ОБРАЗ Л.Н. ТОЛСТОГО В ПОВЕСТИ ИОНА ДРУЦЭ	
	«ВОЗВРАЩЕНИЕ НА КРУГИ СВОЯ»	240
30.	Коробов-Латынцев Андрей - РУССКАЯ ФИЛОСОФИЯ О	
	ВОЙНЕ И НА ВОЙНЕ	245
31.	Костюченкова Наталья - МЕТАФОРИЧЕСКАЯ ОРИЕНТАЦИЯ В	
	ОТНОШЕНИИ ГРАММАТИЧЕСКОГО ЯРУСА ЯЗЫКА (НА МАТЕРИАЛЕ	
	РУССКОГО, АНГЛИЙСКОГО И НОРВЕЖСКОГО ЯЗЫКОВ)	257
32.	Крикливец Елена - СТИЛЕВАЯ МОДИФИКАЦИЯ РЕАЛИСТИЧЕСКОЙ	
	ПОВЕСТИ В РУССКОЙ И БЕЛОРУССКОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРАХ ВТОРОЙ	
	ПОЛОВИНЫ XX ВЕКА	263
33.	Krsteva, Marija - FACT VS. FICTION: THE DOUBLING OF THE	
	BIOGRAPHICAL SELF IN BIOFICTIONS ABOUT F.SCOTT FITZGERALD	
	AND ERNEST HEMINGWAY	271
34.	Кузмановска Драгана, Кирова Снежана, Иванова Биљана -	
	МАКЕДОНСКИОТ ПРЕДЛОГ НА – КАМЕН НА СОПНУВАЊЕ КАЈ	
	ИЗУЧУВАЧИТЕ НА ГЕРМАНСКИОТ И АНГЛИСКИОТ ЈАЗИК	277
35.	Кузнецова Татьяна - КУЛЬТУРА ЭТНОСА В ФИЛОСОФСКО-	• • •
	ЭСТЕТИЧЕСКОМ ОБРАЗОВАНИИ	287
	Kyrchanoff Maksym - MODERNISM, FUTURISM AND AVANT-GARDE AS	
	INTELLECTUAL MOTHERLANDS OF TRANSFORMATIONS IN CHUVASH	205
	IDENTITY IN THE POETRY OF GENNADII AIHI	295
37.	Лазарев Андрей - «СЛАВЯНСКИЙ ВЕСТНИК» И МОСКОВСКИЙ	205
20	СЛАВЯНСКИЙ СЪЕЗД (1867)	307
38.	Леонтиќ Марија - ПОТЕКЛО НА ТУРСКАТА ЛЕКСЕМА	
	(х)ане/(х)ана (hane) И НЕЈЗИНА АДАПТАЦИЈА ВО	212
20	МАКЕДОНСКИОТ ЈАЗИК КАКО СУФИКС	313
<i>3</i> 9.	Лапыгина Мария - ОПИСАНИЕ КОММУНИКАТИВНОГО	
	ПОВЕДЕНИЯ СЕРБСКОГО И РУСККОГО НАРОДА В СТАНДАРТНЫХ	221
10	КОММУНИКАТИВНЫХ СИТУАЦИЯХ	321
4U.	Lisjak Anton - TRAGOVI RAZVOJA SLAVENSKOG IDENTITETA U	220
	POVIJESNIM IZVORIMA SREDNJEGA VIJEKA	329

41.	Майсюк Ольга - ОТЛИЧИТЕЛЬНЫЕ ОСОБЕННОСТИ ГРАММАТИЧЕСКОЙ
	СИСТЕМЫ РУССКОГО И ТУРКМЕНСКОГО ЯЗЫКОВ341
42.	Макаријоска Лилјана - ЛЕКСИЧКИОТ ПОДБОР ВО ПРОЗАТА НА ВЛАДО
12	МАЛЕСКИ
43.	Марковиќ Михајло, Новотни Соња – ЈАЗИЧНАТА ПОЛИТИКА НА РМ И ОДНОСОТ НА ИНСТИТУЦИИТЕ КОН МАКЕДОНСКИОТ ЈАЗИК359
11	Маролова Даринка, Ѓорѓиевска Ева - БИРГЕРОВАТА "ЛЕНОРЕ" –
44.	ПАРАДИГМА ЗА НЕПРЕБОЛНА ЉУБОВ
15	Меркулова Инна - ЛЕКСИКА МАКЕДОНСКОГО ЯЗЫКА НА
4 3.	ОБЩЕСЛАВЯНСКОМ ФОНЕ
16	Методијески Дејан, Голаков Костадин - МАКЕДОНИЈА КАКО
40.	ПОТЕНЦИЈАЛНА ДЕСТИНАЦИЈА ЗА РУСКИТЕ ТУРИСТИ
17	Михайлова Ирина - РОЛЬ ИНОЯЗЫЧНЫХ ВКРАПЛЕНИЙ В
4/.	УСТАНОВЛЕНИИ ИНТЕРТЕКСТУАЛЬНЫХ СВЯЗЕЙ
	ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЙ В.О. ПЕЛЕВИНА
10	
48.	Михайлова Елена, Чжоу Жуйтао - ОСОБЕННОСТИ ПЕРЕВОДА
	ПОЭТИЧЕСКИХ ПРОИЗВЕДЕНИЙ С КИТАЙСКОГО ЯЗЫКА
40	НА РУССКИЙ ЯЗЫК
50.	Moretti Violeta - LATIN PROVERBS AS A TWO-WAY ROAD OF
- 1	CULTURAL TRANSFER
51.	Негриевска Надица – КОНТРАСТИВНА АНАЛИЗА НА МОЖНИОТ
	НАЧИН ВО ИТАЛИЈАНСКИОТ И МАКЕДОНСКИОТ ЈАЗИК ВРЗ
	ПРИМЕРИ ЕКСЦЕРПИРАНИ ОД POMAHOT CANONE INVERSO
	ОД АВТОРОТ ПАОЛО МАУРЕНСИГ И ПРЕВОДОТ НА МАКЕДОНСКИ427
52.	Недюрмагомедов Георгий - ДИДАКТИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ ФОРМИРОВАНИЯ
	ЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ УЧАЩИХСЯ СТАРШИХ КЛАССОВ
53.	Новотни Соња, Марковиќ Михајло – КОНТРАСТИВНА АНАЛИЗА НА
	РАЗВОЈОТ НА ПОЛУВОКАЛИТЕ ВО ДВА ПАТЕРИЦИ СО
- 1	МАКЕДОНСКА ЦРКОВНОСЛОВЕНСКА РЕДАКЦИЈА
54.	Орлова Надежда - БИБЛЕЙСКОЕ ИМЯ В
	СЛАВЯНСКИХ ЯЗЫКАХ: АГАРЬ
33.	Пасмарнова Валерия - ВИДЕО-КЕЙС КАК ФОРМА ОБУЧЕНИЯ
<i>5 (</i>	НА СТЫКЕ ВЕБИНАРА И КЕЙС-ТЕХНОЛОГИИ
30.	Петровска- Кузманова Катерина - "МАЈСТОРОТ И МАГАРИТА" ОД М. БУЛГАКОВ НА СЦЕНАТА НА МАКЕДОНСКИОТ НАРОДЕН ТЕАТАР473
57	М. БУЛГАКОВ НА СЦЕНАТА НА МАКЕДОНСКИОТ НАРОДЕН ТЕАТАР4/3 Плахтий Татьяна - РЕМИНИСЦЕНЦИИ КАК АКТУАЛИЗАТОРЫ НОВЫХ
37.	СМЫСЛОВ В ПЬЕСАХ УКРАИНСКОГО ДРАМАТУРГА ХХ СТОЛЕТИЯ
	НИКОЛАЯ КУЛИША
50	Пляскова Елена – ОТРАЖЕНИЕ НАРОДНОГО ЮМОРА В НЕМЕЦКИХ
56.	КОМПАРАТИВНЫХ ФРАЗЕОЛОГИЗМАХ
50	Pop Zarieva Natalija, Iliev Krste - THE CONTRIBUTION OF "DRACULA
3).	UNTOLD" TO THE EVOLUTION OF BRAM STOKER'S DRACULA:
	A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE PROTAGONISTS497
60	Попова Дарья - БЕЗУМНЫЙ МЕЧТАТЕЛЬ»: РЕЦЕПЦИЯ ЛИЧНОСТИ И
00.	ТВОРЧЕСТВА Э. ПО ВО ФРАНЦУЗСКОЙ ЛИТЕРАТУРНОЙ КРИТИКЕ
	ВТОРОЙ ПОЛОВИНЫ XIX – ПЕРВОЙ ПОЛОВИНЫ XX ВВ
61	Продановска-Попоска Весна - ПРЕГЛЕД НА МЕТОДИТЕ И
01.	ТЕХНИКИТЕ ПРИ ИЗУЧУВАЊЕТО НА СТРАНСКИ ЈАЗИК
	ВО ОДНОС НА ПРАВИЛНИОТ ИЗГОВОР
62	Розенфельд Марьяна - РЕЧЕВОЙ ЭТИКЕТ В ОБЩЕНИИ
~ - .	В СОЦИАЛЬНЫХ СЕТЯХ

63.	Roitberg Natalia – THE STRUCTURE OF A LANGUAGE LESSON	531
	Росенко Н.И О НЕКОТОРЫХ НАПРАВЛЕННОСТЯХ	
	ЭКОНОМИКО-ПРАВОВОЙ КУЛЬТУРЫ ЮРИСТА	539
65.	Ряполов Сергей Владимирович - ФИЛОСОФИЯ О. ФЕОФАНА	
	(АВСЕНЕВА) В КОНТЕКСТЕ ВОРОНЕЖСКОГО	
	ФИЛОСОФСКОГО ТЕКСТА	543
66.	Саломатина Мария - ЭССЕИСТИКА ПЕТРА ВАЙЛЯ, ИЛИ	
	БЕСКОНЕЧНОЕ ПУТЕШЕСТВИЕ	551
67.	Симонова Светлана, Белоусов Арсений - К ВОПРОСУ ОБ	
	ЭТИКО-ЭСТЕТИЧЕСКОМ СИНТЕЗЕ В ИКОНОПИСИ	
	ВИЗАНТИИ И ДРЕВНЕЙ РУСИ	561
68	Соловьев Дмитрий - СИСТЕМА КУРАТОРСТВА УНИВЕРСИТЕТОВ	569
69	Стојановска-Стефанова Анета - КУЛТУРНИ ПРЕОБРАЗБИ КАЈ	
0).	ДРЖАВИТЕ ВО УСЛОВИ НА ГЛОБАЛИЗАЦИЈА	573
70	Тасевска Марица, Хаџи-Николова Адријана – АНГЛИЦИЗМИТЕ ВО	
, 0.	ГЕРМАНСКИОТ ЈАЗИК ВРЗ ПРИМЕРИ ОД СПИСАНИЈАТА	
		579
71	"ЈОУ" и "WOMAN" Тернова Татьяна - ОБРАЗ РЕВОЛЮЦИИ В ЛИРИКЕ АНАТОЛИЯ	
/ 1.	МАРИЕНГОФА	591
72	Тодорова Марија - УСВОЈУВАЊЕ НА ВТОР ЈАЗИК	597
	Тоевски Свето - АНТРОПОЛОШКАТА ЛИНГВИСТИКА	
13.	ВО МАКЕДОНИЈА И НЕЈЗИНИОТ ПОГЛЕД НА ГАНЕ ТОДОРОВСКИ	
	И ПЕТРЕ М.АНДРЕЕВСКИ 605	
74	Тресцова С.В., Трощинская-Степушина Т.Е КЛАССИЧЕСКАЯ	••
/ T .	МЕТОДИКА ПРЕПОДАВАНИЯ РУССКОГО ЯЗЫКА	
	В СОВРЕМЕННОЙ ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНОЙ СРЕДЕ	629
75	Уланска Татјана – КОГНИТИВНИТЕ МЕХАНИЗМИ ПРИ	02)
13.	ПРОЦЕСОТ НА ЗБОРООБРАЗУВАЊЕ ВО АНГЛИСКИОТ ЈАЗИК	633
76	Филюшкина Светлана - ТЕЛЕСНЫЕ ОБРАЗЫ В РОМАНЕ	055
70.	М. ОНДАТЖЕ «АНГЛИЙСКИЙ ПАЦИЕНТ»	639
77	Хайдер Джамил Джабер Альшинайиин - МЕЖДУНАРОДНЫЕ	057
//.	КУЛЬТУРНЫЕ СВЯЗИ ИРАКА В ЭПОХУ СОЦИОПОЛИТИЧЕСКИХ	
	ИЗМЕНЕНИЙ	645
78	Холина Дарья Александровна - ДВИЖУЩИЙСЯ СУБЪЕКТ В РАННЕЙ И	043
70.	ПОЗДНЕЙ ЛИРИКЕ У. Б. ЙЕЙТСА	651
79	Цыганкова Яна - РЕПРЕЗЕНТАЦИЯ ОБРАЗА ВАМПИРА В РОМАНАХ	031
1).	ЭНН РАЙС И ПОППИ 3. БРАЙТ «ИНТЕРВЬЮ С ВАМПИРОМ» И	
	«ПОТЕРЯННЫЕ ДУШИ»	650
20	Чуносова Ирина - УЧЕБНАЯ МОТИВАЦИЯ КАК ПСИХОЛОГО-	039
00.	ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКАЯ ПРОБЛЕМА	665
Ω1	Шутаров Васко - МЕЃУНАРОДНИ КУЛТУРНИ ВРСКИ ВО	003
01.	ВРЕМЕ НА СОЦИО-ПОЛИТИЧКИ ПРОМЕНИ	671
	DI DAL TEL COURTO-HOMETTI IMI III ONIDINI	0/1



UDC: 821.111-31:791

THE CONTRIBUTION OF "DRACULA UNTOLD" TO THE EVOLUTION OF BRAM STOKER'S DRACULA: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE PROTAGONISTS

Natalija Pop Zarieva¹, Krste Iliev²

¹Lector, University "Goce Delcev"

²Lector, University "Goce Delcev"

Abstract: Byron's "Fragment of a Novel" was one of the English pioneer novels featuring the vampire theme. It remained unfinished, but served as a starting point for John Polidori, his physician and friend, in the creation of "The Vampyre". This novel generated the thematic elements linked with one of the most famous revenants in literature. Since then, his descendants have been the main characters of many novels and media interpretations, imbuing popular culture in various guises and appearances. Bram Stoker's "Dracula" was based on Polidori's vampire novel, and it is considered to represent "the greatest and most influential vampire novel ever written" (Frost, 1989, p.52) This essay aims at critically analysing the evolution of the protagonist and discovering how the one portrayed in the film has diverged from Bram Stoker's legendary Dracula. The conclusion will be based on the type of deviation and transformation with regards to their manifestations in both the novel and the film.

Key words: Dracula, Vlad the Impaler, adaptation, protagonist, deviance

Introduction

Bram Stoker's "Dracula" has lived to see more than two hundred film adaptations, thus creating a new genre. Its cinematic life started with Friedrich Wilhelm Murnau's "Nosferatu" in 1922, continued among many others with the successful "Bram Stoker's Dracula" by Francis Ford Coppola in 1992, and led to the latest adaptation "Dracula Untold" by director Gary Shore. The 'original' narrative, naturally, undergoes various modifications producing new readings. The adaptations of a novel inevitably lead to either increasing or decreasing certain features and values from the 'original'. In this essay I will discuss the aspect of the development of the central figure in "Dracula Untold", the film adaptation of Stoker's novel "Dracula", compared to its prototypical character.

It is essential to assert that the 'original' novel and the film being discussed here have a dissimilar, and hence, incomparable plot, as well as the remaining characters. This is evidently stressed in the title of the film as it undertakes to tell the story of Dracula as it has never been told before or from a completely different angle. Unlike Stoker, who transferred most of the action from Transylvania to modern London, the film goes back to Count Dracula's



historical story in the 15th century by setting it in the kingdom of Wallachia. Stoker's Dracula is a nobleman, a Count, which is not paralleled to the film's protagonist, who is a prince of a kingdom in the Gothic surroundings of southeast Europe. The film's protagonist is the Wallachian prince Vlad the Impaler, who had been taken by the Turks as a janissary, where he grew up and was trained to become a ferocious warrior, hence his name "the Impaler". After having faithfully served the Turks, he comes back to rule his land and people.

The Narrators

The novel's composition is rather complex as it is a compilation of letters, diary entries, newspaper articles and records of medical cases. Most of the information on Dracula is presented through multiple points of view involving Jonathan Harker, Mina Harker, Dr. Seward and Lucy Westerna. Apart from these, the other characters' voices, including Dracula's, are merely recorded in the novel; their point of view is absent. This is vital for the objective meaning of the text since most of the information about Dracula is inferred by the reader or is exposed to subjectivities. Speaking of his origin, Dracula tells Harker: "...one of my own race who as Voivodecrossed the Danube and beat the Turk on his own ground! This was Dracula indeed!" (Stoker, p.29). This leads Van Helsing to assume that the "Undead" they are hunting "must, indeed, have been that Voivode Dracula, who won his name against the Turk" (Stoker, p.344). Not only is the fact about Dracula's origins wrapped in mystery and lacks preciseness, but also other facts about him presented in the novel are circumstantial deductions of the group which is trying to trace and kill Dracula. This essay, however, will not focus on the objectiveness of the narrators of the story: it will take their opinions and impressions as facts in the narrative and consider them in order to track similarities and differences with the protagonist in the film adaptation "Dracula Untold".

The film's protagonist is presented at the beginning of the film in a narration by a child's voice, his son. This also seems to pose questions on the realistic presentation of the events. It is inevitable to contemplate why the director has chosen to tell the story from a child's point of view instead of a grown-up character. At the end of the film, the other surviving character was the priest, but his portrayal of the story would mean affirmation that vampires, considered by the church as superstition, actually exist. The child narrator, just like the multiple points of view in the novel, points to the possibility of subjective rendering the events. Children often imbue their reality with fantasy elements or to a certain extent live in a fantasy world or their own.

The Protagonists

Dracula from Stoker's novel has been physically described in detail, starting from Harker's impressions from his first encounter with Count Dracula in his castle:

"His face was a strong, a very strong, aquiline, with high bridge of the thin nose and peculiarly arched nostrils, with lofty domed forehead, and hair growing scantily round the temples but profusely elsewhere. His eyebrows were very massive, almost meeting over the nose, and with bushy hair that seemed to curl in its own profusion. The mouth, so far as I could see it under the heavy moustache, was fixed and rather cruel-looking, with peculiarly sharp white teeth. ..., his ears were pale, and at the tops extremely pointed. The chin was broad and strong, and the cheeks firm though thin. The general effect was one of extraordinary pallor."

(pp. 26-7)

He has been depicted similarly by Mina later on, when she also stresses the red colour of his lips, his pale complexion, the crooked nose, the sharp white teeth and the red scar on his forehead (p.411). The repetitive use of red and white colour in the description of his face seems to point to a certain characteristic which is uncommon to the other characters. It leads to Dracula's differentiation as "the Other". As Halberstam (1993) puts it: "Faces and bodies mark the Other as evil so that he could be recognized and ostracized" (p.338). In the quest for Dracula, Van Helsing characterizes Dracula as "a child-brain", "that is the true criminalwho seems predestinate to crime, and who will of noneother. This criminal has not full man brain." (Stoker, p. 489). Their discussion points to the idea of Dracula's degeneracy and genetic inclination towards criminal behavior. The evil in him is presented as inborn and innate.

Dracula from the film bears some first-sight physical resemblances with Stoker's Dracula, in the sense of being tall with dark scruffy hair, as well as his distinctive eyes and the high rounded forehead. His face to some extent complies with the notion of paleness, though more due to the contrast with the dark hair rather than being extremely pale. What the character lacks is the scar on his forehead, but the physical scarring has been transferred to his back as either the result of the battles he has been as a janissary of the Turks, or as part of the training to become one. However, these bodily marks disappear as soon as Vlad drinks the vampire's blood and turns into a vampire himself. The reversal of symbolism is evident in the film compared to the novel. In the novel, Dracula is physically marked with a scar, depicting him as "the Other", whereas in the film, Dracula's scars vanish as soon as he steps into the sphere of "the Other".

Another mark which is presented in the novel as an indispensable indication of the "Undead" is the absence of a shadow. Stoker's Dracula does not cast a shadow, and his reflection cannot be seen in the mirror, he is weakened in the presence of garlic and a crucifix, and destroyed by a stake. In the novel, Count Dracula states that mirrors are objects of human vanity. (Stoker, p. 38) As J. Gordon Melton proposes that the mirror has been seen in folkloric tales as showing the "person's spiritual double, the soul" and that confirmed the continuity of life. (2011, p. 466) Hence, the absence of a reflection affirms the



absence of life, that is, confirms that the creature lacks soul or belongs to "the Other", the Undead. In addition, the shadow, which is artistically seen as a dark shape, represents the double of the body. The object lacking a shadow is deprived of its soul. The film, however, managed to avoid this symbol. Its absence could have various interpretations: a possible ontological explanation could be that the existence of Dracula's soul is not questioned. Since there is no mirror to check the existence of the soul, there is no necessity to prove that it exists. It is obvious that it exists.

The crucifix is another symbol of the vampire's destruction which does not affect the protagonist in the film. It has been used in the final scene by the priest in order to defend Prince Vlad's son from the blood-thirsty vampires. The effects of it are shown on the vampires, but not on Dracula himself. This could be explained by the fact that Prince Vlad was not turned into an eternal Dracula yet; he is granted three days, in which if being strong enough to resist blood-sucking, he would turn into human again. This intermittent period of being neither human, nor eternal vampire, however, is applicable to the other vampires, but still they are affected by the cross. A more acceptable explanation lies in the character of Prince Vlad: he is presented as a person with extreme moral strength based on positive human values such as love and loyalty to his family and land. These heroic values are rooted in his character; they are the reason for him trading his humanity for the strength of a hundred vampires by drinking the blood of the vampire in the cave.

Both media, the novel and the film, bestow the protagonist the ability to transform. Stoker's Dracula is able to change his form into a giant dog or a wolf, a bat or mist. In Mina Harker's journal Dr. Van Helsing explains:

"He can transform himself towolf, as we gather from the ship arrival in Whitby, when he tear open the dog, he can be as bat, as Madam Mina sawhim on the window at Whitby, and as friend John saw himfly from this so near house, and as my friend Quincey saw him at the window of Miss Lucy." (p. 342)

Auerbach attributes these "animality" features as well as the fact that he had hair on his palms to vampires' origins as hybrid monsters of folklore (1995, p.86). In the film, however, the ideas of transforming into a wolf, mist or possessing hairy palms have been eluded. His protean nature is vividly displayed by imploring dramatic special effects of Dracula summoning millions of bats, and himself immersing into the gale-force bat flock which helps him destroy the Turk's numerous army. In the film, special focus has been put on Dracula's astounding physical strength after having consumed the blood. Though, what is obvious is the fact that he never uses his powers as a general destroyer of life or an invader. The sole purpose of him transgressing into the area of the "Other", the Undead or vampire, and employing the strength and abilities that come along



with this change, is to protect his people, his kind against the aggression of the Turks.

This essay claims that the major deviance from the character of Dracula in Stoker's novel to the protagonist of the 2014 film "Dracula Untold" is his ideology. Stoker's Dracula is an imperialist, who endeavours to colonize another civilized world "the not-Undead" by making them his own kind. Stephen D. Arata asserts that he represents not only a physical threat, but also a cultural and a racial one (1990, p.630). Similarly, Judith Halberstam maintains: "Like Frankenstein's monster, Dracula's designs upon civilization are read by his enemies as the desire to father a new race." (1993, p. 347) She contends that in Stoker's "Dracula" vampires are a "race and family" who invade in order to diminish and destroy the English as a nation by transferring "degeneracy and blood lust" (p.340)

The film's protagonist, on the other hand, displays an opposite ideology. He is the defender of his people. His main goal is to preserve his race and his land. This is evident in two instances: first, against the invading Turks, when he exchanges his weak human life for the strength of the vampire body in order to ward off the attack; and at another point when the vampires that he has created in order to destroy the invader, become themselves the threat against his race of humans. In this situation, Dracula remains the defender of the human race, despite being in a state of temporary vampirism. His inner self, nature and beliefs have persevered. Against the second evil, he performs the most astonishing physical deed: by opening the overcast sky off the clouds, defying nature itself, does he succeed in destroying the blood-thirsty creatures, which he has created. Thus, the character has been attributed powers which transcend the supernatural in the sense that these powers of creation, life and death, can only be ascribed to God.

By the end of Stoker's novel, Dracula is defeated. He is staked and thus physically destroyed. However, the act of blood transfusion, which appeared previously in the novel, when Lucy was too weak and all men gave blood to help her recuperate, bears a symbolic significance of mixing blood. The circle begins with Dracula having drunk from Lucy's blood, and Mina from Dracula's. Dracula's purpose to mix the blood of humans with the blood of the "Undead" has been accomplished, and the boy according to Halbernstam "reincarnates the dead American, Quincey Morris, and the dead vampire, Dracula". (1993, p.350) Upon the choice of the boy's name Stoker notes: "His mother holds, I know, the secretbelief that some of our brave friend's spirit has passed intohim. His bundle of names links all our little band of mentogether. But we call him Quincey." The fact that his name has been coined from the names of all the men which helped sustain his mother's virtuousness creates irony by undermining the fact about Dracula's blood that flows in the child's veins. Another aspect of the vampire's blood-drinking besides nourishment, as stated by Stevenson is procreation. He maintains that vampires can "satisfy these needs simultaneously". (1988, p. 142) In Dr. Seward's journal, Mina explains her encounter with Dracula. After having sucked blood from her neck, he opened his shirt and cut a vein on his chest with his sharp nails and made her drink his blood. She further gives Dracula's account of the effects of these actions: "And you, their best beloved one, are now to me, flesh of my flesh; blood of my blood; kin of my kin; my bountiful wine-press for a while; and shall be later on my companion and helper". (Stoker, p. 413) To Count Dracula this equals a wedding ceremony denoted with the phrase "flash of my flesh", which echoes the words from the Genesis when the woman was created out of man. And beyond that, they become "kin" indicated by Count Dracula. Another distinct feature of Count Dracula in the novel is the fact that he does not perform it only in order to regain strength, he is not only bloodthirstyhe is thirsty for blood. The single moment when he manages to refrain is with Harker at the beginning of the novel, which Atilla Viragh explains with "Dracula's yearning and ambition" to go to London and Harker being the person to assist the fulfillment of this longing. (2013, p. 233) Opposite of the bloodthirsty vampire from Stoker's novel, stands the figure of the valorous prince from the film, who does not yield to the temptation to drink blood neither from the Gypsy offering him a cup of blood, nor from his wife in their intimate scene. He succumbs to the act in the end, when his wife is facing death, and not willingly since he replies to her plead to take her blood with: "I cannot take your life". Facing the consequences of becoming an eternal vampire, the prince chooses to save his son's life, and hence to provide for the continuation of his royal lineage and his kingdom's survival.

Conclusion

To summarise, the protagonist in the film has greatly deviated from Bram Stoker's Dracula in the novel. Despite their close physical resemblance, since both are depicted as having an appearance inherent to vampires, Dracula underwent a major transformation. Dracula in the novel signifies bloodthirst, whereas the other is resistant to blood throughout the film. Arguably the most noticeable divergence can be seen in terms of their ideology. Bram Stoker's Dracula is portrayed as an evil, bloodthirsty, degenerate, a threatening force, which seeks to imperialise the humankind. In contrast, Dracula in the film proved to be a dedicated protector, defender and custodian of the human race, which is lucidly illustrated towards the end of the film. Not until his wife is dying and the life of his child is threatened, did he succumb to the blood-thirst. Once again, this highlights his heroic nature and willingness to sacrifice, in order to rescue the lives of others. In conclusion, the film "Dracula Untold" contributed to the evolution of Bram Stoker's Dracula in the sense that it offered a different angle/perspective of engaging with the ideological role that Dracula plays. It reimagined his ideology, personality and spirit.



Bibliography

- 1. A. Senf, C. (1979). "Dracula": The Unseen face in the Mirror. *The Journal of Narrative Technique*, 9(3), pp.160-170.
- 2. Allen Stevenson, J. (1988). A Vampire in the Mirror: The Sexuality of Dracula. *The Journal of Narrative Technique*, 103(2), pp.139-149.
- 3. Arata, S.D. (1990), *The Occidental Tourist: Dracula and the Anxiety of Reverse Colonization*, Victorian Studies, 33.4 630.
- 4. Auerbach, N. (1995). *Our vampires, ourselves*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. p.86
- 1. Frost, B. (1989). *The monster with a thousand faces*. Bowling Green, Ohio: Bowling Green State University Popular Press.
- 2. 5.George, S. (2013). He make in the Mirror no reflect: Undead Aesthetics and mechanic reproduction- Dorian Gray, Dracula and David Reed's 'vampire painting'. In: S. George and B. Hughes, ed., Open Graves, Open Minds: Representations of Vampires and the Undead from the Enlightenment to the present, 1st ed. Manchester: Manchester University Press.
- 7. Halberstam, J. (1993). Technologies of Monstrosity. *Victorian Studies*, 36(3), pp.333-352.
- 8. Melton, J.G. (2011) *The Vampire Book: An Encyclopedia of the Undead*, Detroit: Visible Ink Press.
- 9. Partridge, C. and Christianson, E. (2009). *The lure of the dark side*. London: Equinox.
- 3. 9.Stoker, B. (2012). *Dracula*. 1st ed. [ebook] Available at: https://www.citethisforme.com/cite/ebook [Accessed 27 Mar. 2016]...
- 10. Viragh, Attila. "Can the Vampire Speak? Dracula as Discourse on Cultural Extinction." (2013). *English Literature in Transition*, 1880-1920, Volume 56, (Number 2), pp.231-245.
- 11. Vrbancic, M. and Bozic-Vrbancic, S. (2011). Different Adaptations: The Power of the Vampire. (*Post)modernism and the Other*, 1(2).
- 12. Weller, L. (2013). *The evolution of the vampire in film and television*. Raleigh, N.C.: Lulu P.