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1. **Overall evaluation of the manuscript.**

**Please, select one choice from the drop-down menu.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Presentation of new/original information | **I can not decide** |
| 1. Utilization of correct methods: | **Poor** |
| 1. Application of suitable data processing techniques: | **Excellent** |
| 1. Clarity of manuscript presentation | **Good** |
| 1. Clarity of results presentation in tables and figures: | **Poor** |
| 1. Clarity and validity of the conclusions: | **Good** |
| 1. Use of suitable and correct English language | **Good** |
| 1. Relevance and adequacy of the literature used: | **Good** |
| 1. Correct reference citations: | **Good** |
| 1. Overall opinion about the manuscript: | **Good** |

1. **Manuscript categorization**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Original research paper**  (Original research paper reports original research results. The material should not have been previously published elsewhere, except in a preliminary form. The scientific information presented in original research paper shall be processed and presented in the way that the experiment is reproducible and the truthfulness of experimental analyses, results and conclusions shall be provable.) | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Review paper**  (Review paper provides a comprehensive analysis of a specific problem or research area, based on an extensive collection of published material. It synthesizes, analyzes, and discusses the gathered information, drawing upon a wide range of literature that is closely related to the research field.) | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Short communication**  (Short communication is concise report presenting preliminary results of significant research, pilot study, or internationally relevant project that require urgent publication. Although this paper provides a limited analysis of the results, it introduces new or improved research methodologies or modifications to existing techniques.) | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Professional paper**  (Professional paper serves as valuable contributions to professional practice, without necessarily being tied to original research. Its primary goal is to apply existing research knowledge and adapt it to practical needs. Unlike research papers, professional papers do not require statistical analysis of the results.) | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Book review**  (Book review provides critical assessments of significant academic publications and books. These formal papers aim to describe, analyze, and evaluate a specific source, offering detailed evidence to support the analysis and evaluation.) | **Yes** | **No** |
| **Other (specify and justify)** | **Yes** | **No** |

1. **General recommendation for manuscript publication:**

Accept the manuscript without or with minor modifications

Accept the manuscript with major modifications

Reject the manuscript with possibility for resubmission

Reject the manuscript

1. **Additional reviewer’s comments (general and specific comments, corrections, suggestions)**